EXHIBIT "M"

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
STATE FARM MUTUAL
AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE
                           )
COMPANY, ET AL.,
                           ) CIVIL ACTION
              Plaintiffs, )
                           ) NO.: 15-cv-5929
          - vs -
LEONARD STAVROPOLSKIY,
ET AL.,
              Defendants. )
EASTERN APPROACH
REHABILITATION, LLC, ET AL.,)
                           ) CIVIL ACTION
              Plaintiffs, )
                           ) NO.: 16-cv-1374
          - vs -
STATE FARM MUTUAL
AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE
COMPANY, ET AL.,
              Defendants. )
```

TRANSCRIPT OF DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY S. DENNER, taken by and before JENNIFER WEARNE, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, at the offices of GOLDBERG, MILLER & RUBIN, P.C., 121 South Broad Street, Suite 1600, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on Thursday, September 7, 2017, commencing at 10:25 a.m.

ERSA COURT REPORTERS 30 South 17th Street United Plaza - Suite 1520 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Phone (215) 564-1233

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	BARATTA, RUSSELL & BARATTA
	BY: ANDREW BARATTA, ESQUIRE
4	3500 Reading Way
	Huntingdon Valley, Pennsylvania 19006
5	Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaim
	Plaintiffs
6	Joseph Wang, D.C., Leonard
	Stavropolskiy, D.C., Eastern
7	Approach Rehabilitation, LLC, and
	Aquatic Therapy of Chinatown
8	
9	GOLDBERG, MILLER & RUBIN, P.C.
	BY: RICHARD M. CASTAGNA, ESQUIRE
10	121 South Broad Street, Suite 1600
	North American Building
11	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107
	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs/Counterclaim
12	Defendants
	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance
13	Company
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

3

1		INDEX		
2				
3	WITNESS			PAGE
4	JEFFREY S.	DENNER		
5	BY: Mr.	Castagna	4,	179
6	BY: Mr.	Baratta	50,	185
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12		EXHIBITS		
13				
			PAGE	PAGE
14	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION N	IARKED	ATTACHED
15	DENNER-1	YOUR EMAIL INQUIRY OF	29	189
		APRIL 5, 2017 PRINTOUT	r	
16	DENNER-2	AUTO CLAIM FILE PRINT	152	190
		FILE HISTORY INFORMATI	ON	
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
<u></u>				

1	(It is agreed by and between
2	counsel that reading, signing, sealing and
3	filing are hereby waived; all objections,
4	except as to the form of the questions,
5	are reserved until the time of trial.)
6	
7	JEFFREY S. DENNER, after having
8	been duly sworn, was examined and testified
9	as follows:
10	
11	BY MR. CASTAGNA:
12	Q. Good morning, Jeff.
13	A. Good morning.
14	Q. We're here for your deposition in the
15	case called State Farm State Farm Mutual
16	Automobile Insurance Company, State Farm Fire
17	and Casualty Company versus a number of
18	defendants, and I'll name them for you. Eastern
19	Approach Rehabilitation, are you familiar with
20	that company?
21	A. Only in name.
22	Q. Aquatic Therapy of Chinatown, are you
23	familiar with that company?
24	A. No.

- 1 Q. A Dr. Joseph Wang, but he spells it
- W-A-N-G, are you familiar with him?
- 3 A. I am.
- 4 Q. He pronounces it Wang, but it's Wang,
- 5 spelled Wang, I should say.
- 6 A. I've heard of the name Dr. Joseph
- 7 Wang. I don't know if it's the same person,
- 8 but, again, only in name; otherwise, I don't
- 9 know him, no.
- 10 Q. Okay. And there's one final
- 11 defendant, Dr. Leonard Stavropolskiy. Do you
- 12 know that name?
- 13 A. I do know that name as a chiropractor
- 14 in Philadelphia.
- 15 Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed
- 16 before?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. How many times have you been deposed
- 19 before?
- 20 A. More than I can remember.
- 21 Q. Okay. And was it in your capacity as
- 22 an employee of State Farm, or was it in some
- 23 other capacity?
- 24 A. It is my capacity at State Farm, as

- 1 well as testifying as an expert witness for
- 2 federal grand juries and state grand juries.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. For the government.
- 5 Q. So we have -- I'm going to give you
- 6 just some general instructions, and you've
- 7 probably heard them a number of times either
- 8 sitting -- I know you -- because you probably
- 9 sat in depositions as well as -- as testifying
- 10 in depositions; is that correct?
- 11 A. I have, yeah.
- 12 Q. Okay. Let me just give them to you
- 13 just so we're a little clear on the ground
- 14 rules.
- We have a court reporter to my right,
- 16 to your left. She's going to take down
- 17 everything I say, everything that you say. We
- 18 have Mr. Baratta in the room. He's probably
- 19 going to ask you some questions as well.
- 20 Because of that, only one of us can speak at
- 21 once.
- 22 And you'll do a fine job of not
- 23 speaking over me, and I'll try to do the same,
- 24 just so we can make it clear for the court

- 1 reporter.
- 2 Anytime I ask you a question, and you
- 3 don't understand the question, let me know.
- 4 I'll restate the question or try to rephrase it.
- 5 Also, if I ask you a question, and you
- 6 didn't hear the question or you need me to
- 7 repeat it, let me know, and I'll do that. Okay?
- 8 We only want you to answer questions that you
- 9 know the answer to. So if it would be a pure
- 10 guess or speculation, let us know, and we'll
- 11 move on to a different question.
- 12 Let's start with a little background.
- 13 You went to college, Jeff?
- 14 A. I did.
- 15 Q. Where did you go to college?
- 16 A. I started Villanova University and
- 17 graduated from West Chester University.
- 18 Q. Approximately, if you know, what year
- 19 did you graduate?
- 20 A. 1990, I believe.
- 21 Q. And do you remember what -- do you
- 22 remember what your degree was in?
- 23 A. I graduated Bachelor of Science in
- 24 Business Administration and Marketing.

- 1 Q. And when you graduated in 1990, did
- 2 you go on to any further college education?
- 3 A. No, I began my career at State Farm
- 4 right after graduation.
- 5 Q. Okay. And what was your first
- 6 position at State Farm?
- 7 A. I was an auto claim rep in Plymouth
- 8 Meeting, Pennsylvania.
- 9 Q. And how long were you in that
- 10 position?
- 11 A. Two to three years.
- 12 Q. What was your next position after
- 13 that?
- 14 A. I started as an auto claim rep,
- 15 processing automobile claims, property damage
- 16 claims, and then I moved to processing MPC,
- 17 medical payment claims, and then a very brief
- 18 stint processing bodily injury claims.
- 19 Q. And after that brief stint processing
- 20 bodily injury claims, what was your next
- 21 position at the company?
- 22 A. I moved into what was then called a
- 23 senior referral unit, or what is now known as
- 24 special investigation, or MCIU. The names have

- 1 changed over the years depending on the time
- 2 frame.
- 3 Q. Approximately what time, if you can
- 4 give me a year or around that time, did you
- 5 become or did you take the position as a senior
- 6 referral unit employee?
- 7 A. I'd have to guess at the year. I
- 8 apologize. It would be in my personnel record.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. I'm going to -- to the best of my
- 11 recollection --
- 12 Q. That's fine.
- 13 A. -- it was approximately three to
- 14 three-and-a-half years after I started with the
- 15 company.
- 16 Q. Perfect.
- 17 A. Maximum, four.
- 18 Q. And have you ever worked at any other
- 19 insurance company other than State Farm?
- 20 A. Never.
- 21 Q. When you came into the position as a
- 22 senior referral unit employee, what was your --
- 23 what was your title when you worked in the
- 24 senior referral unit?

- 1 A. I don't remember. It was claim
- 2 representative, but then they had -- back then
- 3 we had different titles of claim representative
- 4 depending how many years service you had. There
- 5 was a senior claim representative, a claim
- 6 representative.
- 7 So I don't remember when they first
- 8 moved into the investigation team, so to speak,
- 9 what the actual title was.
- 10 The unit was brand new, so they were
- 11 just starting it, so they were actually trying
- 12 to come up with a title for us, what they were
- 13 going to call us and what they were going to put
- 14 on our business cards, and for the life of me I
- 15 just can't remember that far back what they
- 16 agreed to put on the business cards.
- 17 Q. Do you remember who your first --
- 18 A. I think it may have been called a
- 19 claims specialist when they first started.
- 20 Q. Sure. Do you remember who your first
- 21 supervisor was in that position?
- 22 A. Yes, David Murphy.
- 23 Q. Okay. And how long was Mr. Murphy
- 24 your supervisor?

- 1 A. Oh, gosh. Ten, 15 years.
- 2 Q. And who was your next supervisor in
- 3 the position? I know that you said it changed
- 4 to senior referral unit to SIU to MCIU. Who was
- 5 the next --
- 6 A. Austin Bowles, B-O-W-L-E-S.
- 7 Q. And how long was Mr. Bowles your
- 8 supervisor?
- 9 A. Quite a few years.
- 10 Q. Who was your next supervisor, if you
- 11 recall?
- 12 A. Bryan Acornley.
- 13 Q. And can you give me an estimate of how
- 14 many years Mr. Acornley was your supervisor?
- 15 A. At least three to four. I was managed
- 16 by Mr. Acornley, then I moved over to Michael
- 17 Knox, and then I moved back to Bryan Acornley
- 18 again.
- 19 So total time with Bryan, I don't
- 20 remember, but I was with Bryan twice.
- 21 Q. And when you left the company, you
- 22 were still in the SIU or MCIU team --
- 23 A. Yeah --
- 24 O. -- or unit?

- 1 A. -- again, when -- when I left the
- 2 company, we were in another transition, and I
- 3 think they were trying to rename us yet again.
- 4 So I think when I left, it was MCIU,
- 5 and I'm not sure what they're calling the claim
- 6 reps now. I think they might be calling them
- 7 claim specialists in MCIU, but I'm not
- 8 100 percent sure.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. Things changed a lot in that
- 11 department.
- 12 Q. Other than Mr. Murphy, Mr. Bowles,
- 13 Mr. Acornley, Mr. Knox, did you have any other
- 14 supervisors while in the SIU or MCIU?
- 15 A. None that I recall.
- 16 Q. Did your supervisors have different
- 17 approaches to management?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And how did they -- well, let me ask
- 20 you, did your supervisors have different
- 21 approaches to reporting?
- 22 A. Well, I had different supervisors at
- 23 different time frames. So at different time
- 24 frames, there were different procedures.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. So the procedures that Mr. Murphy
- 3 followed weren't necessarily the same procedures
- 4 that Mr. Bowles followed because the procedures
- 5 were different.
- 6 Q. Okay. Have you ever heard the term
- 7 "multi-claim investigation"?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Have you ever heard the term used at
- 10 State Farm "projects"?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Have you ever heard the term
- "investigation" used at State Farm?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. At State Farm, have, to your
- 16 knowledge, individuals used the terms
- 17 "multi-claim investigations," "investigations"
- 18 and "projects" interchangeably and others
- 19 identify some distinction between those terms,
- 20 to your knowledge?
- 21 And if that's not a clear question, I
- 22 can understand if that --
- 23 A. That's definitely not a clear
- 24 question. I think that's confusing.

- 1 Q. Let me try that again.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. We just talked about these three terms
- 4 that you said you're familiar with, the
- 5 "multi-claim investigation," "investigation" and
- 6 "project."
- 7 And what I'm trying to find out is
- 8 whether individuals who you've worked with,
- 9 whether they're your bosses or the individuals
- 10 that would be in the same level as you, your
- 11 colleagues, would they have used those terms
- 12 interchangeably, or would they have seen some
- 13 type of distinction between those terms?
- 14 A. Both.
- 15 Q. Do you believe the terms are
- 16 interchangeable or do you believe that they're
- 17 distinguishable?
- 18 A. Both. It depends on the context of
- 19 the conversation.
- 20 O. Okay. You've worked on -- let me ask
- 21 you, what's your understanding of a multi-claim
- 22 investigation?
- 23 A. Exactly what it says, an investigation
- 24 involving multiple claims.

- 1 Q. Okay. And what do you consider a
- 2 project?
- 3 A. A project would be an investigation
- 4 involving a provider of some type, and that
- 5 provider would be involved in various claims
- 6 that are being processed by State Farm.
- 7 Q. Okay. And have you ever worked on
- 8 either a multi-claim investigation or a project?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. You've handled claims at State Farm as
- 11 well?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And have you handled claims while you
- 14 were in the SIU or MCIU?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And have you handled claims in the SIU
- 17 or MCIU which you considered to be questionable
- 18 claims?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Have you handled claims in which you
- 21 believe the claimant was not actually in the
- 22 automobile that was in the claimed accident?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Jump-in?

1	2	Yes,	gir
	Α.	ies,	SIL.

- 2 Q. Is that a proper use of the term?
- 3 A. Loose -- loosely used, yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. Have you ever investigated
- 5 claims with possible staged accidents?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Have you ever investigated claims
- 8 where the actual -- where there was an actual
- 9 accident, but the claimant delayed receiving
- 10 treatment for a long period of time?
- 11 A. I guess a long period of time is
- 12 relevant to who, but the answer to that, the
- 13 short answer would be yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Have you ever investigated
- 15 claims in which the claimant had extensive claim
- 16 history?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Would you agree with me that not every
- 19 questionable claim has resulted in a multi-claim
- 20 investigation?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Have you ever investigated a
- 23 questionable claim or a group of claims and
- 24 determined that a multi-claim investigation was

- 1 not warranted?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Can you estimate the number of
- 4 multi-claim investigations that you've worked
- 5 on?
- 6 A. I can't. Too many to -- I spent over
- 7 20 years in that unit. Too many to even recall
- 8 at this point.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, during the period of time
- 10 that you have participated in multi-claim
- 11 investigations, would you have worked for those
- 12 same supervisors that we've already mentioned --
- 13 Mr. Acornley, Mr. Bowles, Mr. Murphy and
- 14 Mr. Knox?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. During your years working in SIU, did
- 17 you form any relationships with law enforcement
- 18 groups?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What law enforcement groups have you
- 21 formed relationships with during the period of
- 22 time you worked at SIU?
- 23 A. Pennsylvania Attorney General's
- 24 Office; the Delaware County District Attorney's

- 1 Office; the Federal Bureau of Investigation in
- 2 Philadelphia; the Federal Bureau of
- 3 Investigation in Fort Washington, Pennsylvania;
- 4 the District Attorney's Office in Allentown,
- 5 Pennsylvania; the District Attorney's Office in
- 6 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; the Federal Bureau of
- 7 Investigation in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; the,
- 8 I think it was, County Office in Passaic County,
- 9 New Jersey; and the regional FBI office in
- 10 Northern New Jersey, to the best of my
- 11 recollection.
- 12 Q. Sure. Have you ever brought what you
- 13 considered to be fraudulent claims to any of
- 14 those law enforcement groups?
- 15 A. You -- I think you need to clarify
- 16 that, because I'm --
- 17 Q. Sure.
- 18 A. -- confused. What do you mean, did I
- 19 ever bring them a claim?
- 20 O. Did you ever refer them or discuss
- 21 with them what you believed to be a fraudulent
- 22 claim?
- 23 A. I probably discussed with them. I
- 24 would not bring them an actual individual claim.

- 1 There was a procedure to get a claim to law
- 2 enforcement.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. I wouldn't take an individual claim to
- 5 a law enforcement officer.
- 6 Q. Sure. Did you ever follow that
- 7 procedure to ensure that that claim was referred
- 8 to these law enforcement groups?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you ever make up a claim of fraud
- 11 against a provider?
- 12 A. Can you clarify that?
- 13 Q. Sure. Did you ever create a claim of
- 14 fraud, just make it up out of whole cloth or --
- 15 A. Absolutely not.
- 16 Q. Why wouldn't you do that?
- 17 A. Lots of reasons -- it would be utterly
- 18 ridiculous, it would be against my ethics, it
- 19 would be illegal, immoral, against my better
- 20 judgment, flat-out wrong.
- 21 Q. Do you know of anyone that you worked
- 22 with at State Farm who ever did that?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Do you know of any gain that anyone

- 1 would get working at the company for doing such
- 2 a thing?
- 3 A. For just fictitiously making up false
- 4 allegations?
- 5 Q. Sure.
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. If someone had done that, would there
- 8 be -- to your understanding, would there be
- 9 anything to lose on working as an employee of
- 10 State Farm?
- 11 A. Their employment and their reputation,
- 12 maybe their freedom.
- 13 Q. Did your supervisors at State Farm --
- 14 well, let me ask you this: Did any of your
- 15 supervisors at State Farm ever suggest to you
- 16 that you should make up claims of fraud against
- 17 a provider?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Are you aware of any of the
- 20 supervisors that you've had suggesting to anyone
- 21 else at the company that they should make up
- 22 claims against a provider?
- 23 A. Never.
- 24 Q. Did anyone at State Farm ever suggest

- 1 to you that you should make up claims of fraud
- 2 against a provider?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Are you aware of anyone at State Farm
- 5 has ever suggested to anyone that they should
- 6 make up claims of fraud against a provider?
- 7 A. I've never heard anyone say that.
- 8 Q. Did you ever get rewarded for working
- 9 on a multi-claim investigation?
- 10 A. I would have a hard time answering
- 11 that question right now.
- 12 Q. Okay. Did you ever receive a monetary
- 13 payment for working on a multi-claim
- 14 investigation?
- 15 A. Same answer.
- 16 Q. Were there any of your multi-claim
- 17 investigations that you worked on that resulted
- 18 in State Farm bringing a lawsuit against a
- 19 medical provider?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did any of those lawsuits go to trial?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. How many of the lawsuits that you
- 24 worked on a multi-claim investigation went to

- 1 trial, if you recall?
- 2 A. Several, but I can't give you an exact
- 3 number.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. I apologize.
- 6 Q. That's fine.
- 7 The several that went to trial, do you
- 8 recall any of them -- well, let me ask you, was
- 9 State Farm successful in bringing those claims
- 10 to trial?
- 11 A. When you say "successful in bringing,"
- 12 do you mean did we prevail at the verdict?
- 13 Q. Yeah, it was a bad question, but
- 14 that's what I meant.
- 15 A. Yes, we won each one.
- 16 Q. Okay. Did you ever conduct a
- 17 multi-claim investigation and determine that the
- 18 provider was not committing fraud?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you provide that information to
- 21 your immediate supervisors?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And did your supervisors accept your
- 24 conclusion?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And what happened with those
- 3 investigations?
- 4 A. They would simply be terminated or
- 5 closed.
- 6 Q. Was there ever an occasion where you
- 7 conducted a multi-claim investigation and
- 8 determined that a provider is not committing
- 9 fraud, but that State Farm went ahead and still
- 10 sued that provider?
- 11 A. Regarding myself? Never.
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 Did you ever conduct an -- or conclude
- 14 an investigation with the conclusion that you
- 15 couldn't make a determination whether or not the
- 16 provider was committing fraud, meaning you
- 17 couldn't come to a definitive conclusion?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And in those situations, did
- 20 you close your investigation?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And did State Farm accept your
- 23 conclusion?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. In those investigations that
- 2 ultimately resulted in lawsuits, did you believe
- 3 that the provider was doing something wrong in
- 4 each instance?
- 5 A. During the investigation? Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. What I'm referring to is, you
- 7 said certain investigations actually resulted in
- 8 going -- in bringing an affirmative lawsuit
- 9 against those providers?
- 10 A. Yes, if the affirmative lawsuit was
- 11 brought, then, yes, throughout the entire case.
- 12 Q. Okay. Did State Farm ever ask you to
- 13 lie in those cases?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Did State Farm ever ask you to
- 16 fabricate allegations?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Did State Farm ever ask you to
- 19 fabricate evidence?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Do you believe in the cases that
- 22 State Farm brought lawsuits in which you were
- 23 involved in that there was sufficient evidence
- 24 to support bringing a lawsuit?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Do you know an individual by the name
- 3 of John Costanzo.
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. How long have you known Mr. Costanzo,
- 6 approximately?
- 7 A. Fifteen years or longer.
- 8 Q. Okay. And do you know John to be a
- 9 liar?
- 10 A. No, not to me ever.
- 11 Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that
- 12 John is generally an honest individual?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How would you characterize John as a
- 15 State Farm employee?
- 16 A. Good employee, conscientious, hard
- 17 worker, liked.
- 18 Q. Do you believe that John would ever
- 19 manufacture false allegations against a medical
- 20 provider?
- 21 A. Personally? I can't believe John
- 22 would do that.
- 23 Q. Okay. Has John ever done or said
- 24 anything that would suggest to you that he's

- 1 capable of doing something like that?
- 2 A. No, sir.
- 3 Q. Do you have any knowledge -- I asked
- 4 you earlier about some -- the defendants in this
- 5 case. Do you have any knowledge about
- 6 State Farm's investigation into any of those
- 7 defendants?
- 8 A. Other than hearing the name Eastern
- 9 Approach and hearing the name of Dr. Wang, Wang,
- 10 and the name of Dr. Stavropolskiy, the other
- 11 name I don't even recall, and knowing that John
- 12 had an investigation and that there was a case
- 13 ongoing, I don't know anything about the case.
- 14 Q. Do you know when John started his
- 15 investigation?
- 16 A. I have no idea when he started it.
- 17 Q. Do you know any facts relating to
- 18 John's investigation?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Did you ever participate in any
- 21 meetings in which John's investigation was
- 22 discussed?
- 23 A. No, unless it was something general,
- 24 like the investigation's ongoing or something

- 1 innocuous, but nothing regarding any specifics
- 2 or any facts.
- 3 Q. Did you have any discussions with me
- 4 or anyone at Goldberg, Miller & Rubin relating
- 5 to the investigation into the defendants?
- 6 A. Never.
- 7 Q. Did you have any discussions with me
- 8 or anyone at my law firm relating to a project
- 9 that was open relating to any of the defendants?
- 10 A. Never.
- 11 Q. Did you ever meet with anyone in my
- 12 office or myself relating to an investigation
- into any of the defendants?
- 14 A. Other than saying "hi" to you and
- 15 meeting with you a few moments before this
- 16 deposition started, never.
- 17 Q. And when you spoke with me right
- 18 before this deposition, that was to tell me
- 19 about a meeting that you had with Mr. Baratta;
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yes, to be honest, that Mr. Baratta
- 22 and I met, and to ask you if you were going to
- 23 tell me what you were going to ask me, and you
- 24 basically told me you'll see when I get into the

- 1 deposition.
- 2 Q. And you indicated to me, I guess, when
- 3 we just spoke a little while ago that
- 4 Mr. Baratta had, I guess, asked to meet with you
- 5 recently, and you did sit down with him and
- 6 answered his questions honestly; correct?
- 7 A. I did.
- 8 Q. And also you indicated that previously
- 9 Mr. Baratta had contacted you and asked to meet
- 10 with you?
- 11 A. He had asked if I had any records and
- 12 asked if I would meet, and I said if I got a
- 13 deposition notice. And then I eventually did
- 14 get a subpoena for a deposition notice.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. I don't remember when I got it,
- 17 though.
- 18 Q. There was some questions that
- 19 Mr. Baratta had submitted to you via email;
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. At some point in time, yes.
- 22 Q. And then you provided written answers
- 23 to those emailed questions; correct?
- 24 A. I did, yes.

1	MR. CASTAGNA: Just mark
2	this as call this Denner-1.
3	(Your Email Inquiry of
4	April 5, 2017 Printout marked for
5	identification as Exhibit Denner-1.)
6	BY MR. CASTAGNA:
7	Q. Jeff, I've put in front of you what
8	I've marked as Denner-1, I think nine pages. It
9	says 1 of 8, but I think there's nine pages?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. Yes, because there's a second 1 of 8
12	after that.
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. Are you aware of any other emails
15	between yourself and Mr. Baratta other than
16	what's been marked as Denner-1?
17	A. No, sir.
18	Q. It's my understanding that you
19	provided those responses to those questions in
20	an effort to, I guess, avoid what we're doing
21	today, which is your deposition; is that
22	correct?
23	A. That was my hope, that if I answered
24	his questions, that these answers would suffice.

- 1 Q. Okay. And, unfortunately, we're still
- 2 here?
- 3 A. Too bad.
- 4 Q. Do you know how Mr. Baratta identified
- 5 you as someone that he wanted to speak with
- 6 relating to this case?
- 7 A. I'd assume just because I'm a
- 8 State Farm employee in the same unit.
- 9 Q. When you worked at State Farm, had he
- 10 ever come to your house?
- 11 A. Yes, he'd come to my house once before
- in another case to issue me a subpoena and serve
- 13 me.
- 14 Q. Did you tell him anything that you
- 15 believe would lead him to believe that you
- 16 possessed information relating to the
- 17 defendants?
- 18 A. In this case?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. Not that I know of. I don't even
- 21 think he asked me about this case.
- 22 Q. Did he offer to pay you for any
- 23 information?
- 24 A. No, absolutely not.

1	Q. Has he ever	done that?
2	A. No.	
3	Q. One of the q	uestions that Mr. Baratta
4	asked you in writing a	nd that you responded to,
5	and I'll read the ques	tion, was: Would
6	State Farm ever retain	counsel to start a
7	multi-claim investigat	ion of a medical provider?
8	And you resp	onded: Yes.
9	A. Where I d	on't know where you're at,
10	Counsel, so	
11	Q. I'm sorry.	Sure. I'm just trying to
12	read them to you to ma	ke it easier.
13	A. Sure, but I	don't know where you're
14	at.	
15	Q. Number 7.	
16	A. Number 7?	
17	Q. Yes.	
18	A. Okay.	
19	Q. So it says -	- so the question was:
20	Would State Farm ever	retain counsel to start a
21	multi-claim investigat	ion of a medical provider?
22	And your res	ponse was: Yes.
23	What I'm try	ing to do is get an
24	understanding of what	your understanding of what
•		

- 1 Mr. Baratta was asking.
- 2 A. Okay. Well, what -- I don't know what
- 3 his intention was in his question. I only
- 4 understand what my interpretation of the
- 5 question was.
- 6 Q. Sure, that's fine. Can you provide
- 7 that to me, what you interpreted it to mean?
- 8 A. Yes. If I had possibly done an
- 9 investigation through looking at some claim
- 10 files and thought there was more that needed to
- 11 be followed up on and should we potentially
- 12 start a project, otherwise possibly known as a
- 13 multi-claim investigation, as you said before,
- 14 can those words be used interchangeably at
- 15 times, and would I at times hire counsel at the
- 16 beginning to say would you help me with this,
- 17 the answer would be yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. That's what I thought you
- 19 meant. I just wanted to clarify that. You've
- 20 retained different attorneys and different law
- 21 firms when you've performed investigations while
- 22 working for State Farm; is that correct?
- 23 A. Many different attorneys.
- 24 Q. Okay. And these attorneys have worked

- 1 at different law firms?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Now, are you familiar with if any of
- 4 these law firms have groups or teams that to
- 5 some extent work primarily on
- 6 special-investigation-type work, whether it be
- 7 individual SIU cases or affirmative litigation?
- 8 A. My understanding is all the firms I
- 9 dealt with in the past had specific teams that
- 10 were dedicated to handling State Farm special
- 11 investigations or State Farm project work.
- 12 Q. Do you know whether those law firms
- 13 have either identified names or internal names
- 14 that they use for those groups?
- 15 A. I know of at least one firm that does.
- 16 They call it the SIU team.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. I don't know if every firm calls it
- 19 the SIU team or the project team, but I would
- 20 assume that if they call it anything, it would
- 21 be called the SIU team or the project team,
- 22 because that's the type of work they're
- 23 handling.
- 24 Q. Okay. Do you know the term "line

- 1 cases"?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Okay. Do you recall a period of time
- 4 at State Farm when attorneys billed on line
- 5 cases to a flat-fee program?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And do you also recall a period of
- 8 time at State Farm when attorneys billed
- 9 individual SIU cases under what was a modified
- 10 flat-fee program?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And do you ever recall billing on
- 13 investigations, multi-claim investigations,
- 14 projects or affirmative litigation moving to a
- 15 flat-fee program?
- 16 A. Whoa, I've got to think about that for
- 17 a moment. Do you mind?
- 18 Q. Sure. Take your time.
- 19 A. I know there was some discussion
- 20 towards the end of my State Farm career about
- 21 having SIU work moved to a flat -- to a
- 22 flat-SIU-fee program. I don't know if it was
- 23 ever implemented or not before I left or if I'm
- 24 remembering it because I remember talking to

- 1 ex-co-workers after I left.
- I do remember something about, you
- 3 know, specific attorneys being able to bill
- 4 outside the normal two channels, meaning one
- 5 channel being line -- line work, the next being
- 6 SIU-fee work, the third being project or MCIU
- 7 work.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. But I don't know if it was actually
- 10 ever implemented or not.
- 11 Q. Sure. If I could back up for a
- 12 second. You said that you thought that maybe
- 13 there was potential that it might change.
- 14 During the period of time that you
- 15 worked in SIU at State Farm, do you ever recall
- 16 a period of time where the attorneys did not
- 17 bill in the multi-claim investigation, slash,
- 18 project, slash, affirmative litigation on an
- 19 hourly basis?
- 20 A. No, the MCIU work, slash, project work
- 21 was billed on an hourly basis.
- 22 Q. Okay. Mr. Baratta has submitted
- 23 another written question. And I don't have the
- 24 number, but I should be able to find it for you.

- 1		
	1	It says: If counsel submitted bills
	2	to you in connection with a medical provider
	3	investigation at their RICO-investigation-hourly
	4	rate, would that indicate that the multi-claim
	5	investigation they were assisting with was an
	6	investigation into suspected RICO activity?
	7	And your response was: Possibly.
	8	Counsel decides if RICO applies, not the
	9	investigator.
	10	It looks like 8?
	11	A. May I read that question to myself?
	12	Q. Sure. Absolutely.
	13	A. Thank you.
	14	Okay. I've read it.
	15	Q. Do you know what RICO stands for?
	16	A. Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt
	17	Organizations Act.
	18	Q. Did you ever tell counsel to look for
	19	RICO activity?
	20	A. Never. That's counsel advises us
	21	what statutes apply, what legal precedents
	22	apply, what laws apply, what what doesn't
	23	apply, and we have no say whatsoever.

Q. Do you know what it means if counsel

- 1 placed the words "RICO investigation hourly" at
- 2 the top of a bill?
- 3 A. I assume to identify that they're
- 4 billing at a RICO-hourly rate, so people know
- 5 that it's being billed hourly.
- 6 Q. Do you ever recall seeing that on any
- 7 bill?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What bill have you seen it on?
- 10 A. I believe I've seen it on various
- 11 bills from various counsel.
- 12 Q. Have you ever worked on a case in
- 13 which a RICO count was brought?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Do you remember the names -- well, and
- 16 I don't want to talk about projects or
- 17 multi-claim investigations, but any affirmative
- 18 litigation --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- where a RICO case -- claim was
- 21 brought?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Which ones were known as a RICO claim,
- 24 if you recall?

- 1 A. I believe a case named State Farm
- 2 versus Singer, et al. was a RICO case, I believe
- 3 a case titled State Farm versus -- I don't
- 4 remember all the defendants. Sam Fishman, and
- 5 there were a bunch of other defendants, I
- 6 believe that was -- had a RICO count involved in
- 7 it.
- 8 State Farm had a case involving a
- 9 gentleman by the named of Michael Alston. I
- 10 believe we had a RICO count, but then ended up
- 11 dismissing the RICO count.
- I believe we had a case involving
- 13 State Farm versus Red Lion Medical Center,
- 14 et al. that had -- original complaint had a RICO
- 15 count. Whether it stayed or not, I have no
- 16 recollection.
- 17 I think there were several others that
- 18 originally had RICOs. Whether the RICOs stayed
- in or were eventually removed either by argument
- 20 or voluntary rewriting of the complaint, I don't
- 21 know, but sometimes we plead RICO, sometimes we
- 22 don't. That's something counsel advises us,
- 23 whether we're going to, whether we're not.
- 24 Q. Okay.

1	A. I have no legal expertise in that
2	matter whatsoever.
3	Q. Mr. Baratta submitted the written
4	question let me find it for you number 9:
5	Is the statute of limitations something SIU/MCIU
6	investigators are sensitive to when conducting a
7	multi-claim investigation?
8	And you responded: Yes. And you
9	further explained, if suit is to be filed, one
10	needs to know what the statute date is.
11	Tell me if you see that. It's
12	number 8.
13	A. No, it can't be number 8.
14	MR. BARATTA: No, it's
15	actually number
16	THE WITNESS: Because we
17	just looked at 8.
18	MR. CASTAGNA: I'm sorry.
19	MR. BARATTA: 9 and 10.
20	BY MR. CASTAGNA:
21	Q. Nine and 10?
22	A. Nine and 10. Okay. Let's go to 9
23	first, please. Is the statute of limitations
24	something that investigators are sensitive to?

- 1 Yes.
- Well, of course, I need to be
- 3 sensitive to what the statute date is. I need
- 4 to know that if I'm conducting a multi-claim
- 5 investigation or a project investigation, and
- 6 one of the possible outcomes of it is potential
- 7 litigation, then I need to know if I have any
- 8 statutes that I need to worry about.
- 9 So I obviously need to be aware of
- 10 what my statutes are or are not.
- 11 O. And --
- 12 A. And I would rely on counsel to advise
- 13 me of that.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. I wouldn't decide what the statute
- 16 dates are. Counsel would advise me of that.
- 17 Ten, why is the statute of limitations
- 18 something MCIU investigations are sensitive to?
- 19 Exactly what the answer says, because if you're
- 20 going to file suit, you need to know what the
- 21 last possible date is that you have; otherwise,
- 22 you don't have a case.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Just like in any affirmative action or

- 1 any lawsuit.
- 2 Q. All right. Let's go on to the next
- 3 one. Mr. Baratta also submitted the written
- 4 question -- let me see if I can find it before I
- 5 start asking you questions, so you can maybe
- 6 read along. I think it's 11. Yes.
- 7 So if you conducted a review of
- 8 multi-claims concerning -- of a medical provider
- 9 in which you also retained counsel to assist in
- 10 2011, dash, 2012, would you have created any
- 11 kind of reports concerning the review being
- 12 conducted?
- 13 And you responded: Yes.
- Now, Mr. Baratta followed that
- 15 question up with: What reports would you have
- 16 created, and why?
- 17 And you responded: Possibly a report
- 18 summarizing results of the claims review,
- 19 possibly an initial report, an inventory of
- 20 claims reviewed.
- 21 So looking at the scenario that
- 22 Mr. Baratta outlined in his question, your
- 23 response doesn't appear to be definitive, as you
- 24 used the word "possibly." Would you agree with

- 1 my understanding that your answer is not
- 2 definitive?
- 3 A. Possibly means possibly.
- 4 Q. Okay. Is it possible you would not
- 5 prepare a report summarizing the results of the
- 6 claim review?
- 7 A. Well, I would have -- I would have
- 8 prepared something, just not sure what I would
- 9 have prepared.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. That's what that means.
- 12 Q. So your understanding is you would
- 13 have prepared something, but not necessarily a
- 14 report?
- 15 A. Correct. If a -- when I use the term
- 16 "report," we had at that time, 2011, 2012, we
- 17 had what we called formal reports, which were
- 18 standardized reports that had a complete outline
- 19 to them that we were supposed to follow.
- I may not have completed one of those
- 21 standardized reports that had a complete
- 22 outline, but I would have prepared something
- 23 that I could have referenced back to.
- 24 And I would have had to prepared some

- 1 type of an inventory of some kind, even if it's
- 2 just a list on a piece of paper of the claims I
- 3 reviewed; otherwise, later in life, how would I
- 4 know what claims I reviewed? Because I don't
- 5 want to duplicate the effort, and I don't want
- 6 anybody else on my team to duplicate effort
- 7 later in life.
- 8 We were very big on that, not
- 9 duplicating each other's work. It's a waste of
- 10 time.
- 11 Q. So let me give you a different
- 12 scenario than the one that Mr. Baratta, I guess,
- 13 outlined. So let's look at this scenario.
- 14 So while meeting with your counsel,
- 15 not associated with that -- with anything at
- 16 this point in time, you're meeting with counsel
- 17 for something completely different.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. And an attorney brings to your
- 20 attention an issue that they saw relating to
- 21 certain medical records that the attorney
- 22 reviewed while defending a State Farm insured.
- 23 A. In any particular --
- 24 Q. In a particular --

- 1 A. Unfortunately, I have to clarify. You
 2 mean in a particular claim, not a series of
- 3 claims?
- 4 Q. Exactly, in any particular claim.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. You then ask the attorney to review
- 7 several files that counsel had at their office
- 8 to see if the issue was seen in those files as
- 9 well.
- You also then decide to look at a few
- 11 recent files. And, in doing so, you determined
- 12 that those files -- well, I'm sorry. You
- 13 determined that this was not seen in those more
- 14 recent files and determined that it wasn't an
- 15 ongoing issue that was brought to your
- 16 attention, and, as a result, you did nothing
- 17 further but paid for your attorney's time.
- 18 So under those circumstances that I
- 19 just gave you that scenario, would you have
- 20 prepared an initial report?
- 21 A. Probably not.
- 22 Q. Would you have prepared any type of
- 23 document summarizing your lack of findings?
- 24 A. I would have prepared something to

- 1 indicate which files my attorney reviewed and
- 2 which files I reviewed.
- 3 Q. Would you have prepared an inventory
- 4 of the claims reviewed?
- 5 A. Just a list. I don't -- the word
- 6 "inventory" is such a -- from a claim
- 7 individual's standpoint, the word "inventory" is
- 8 a bad word to use. Inventory refers to files
- 9 that -- claims that someone has assigned to them
- 10 and is working.
- 11 So when you say, to a claims
- 12 professional, inventory, you're actually
- 13 referring to something that's been assigned to
- 14 somebody or something that's pending or
- 15 something that someone's working on.
- 16 So to say to someone such as myself
- 17 claim inventory, that refers to something else.
- 18 Q. Right.
- 19 A. I wouldn't have prepared an inventory
- 20 saying I'm working on those files, because I'm
- 21 not working on them.
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 A. But I would have prepared a -- for
- 24 lack of a better term, not meaning derogatory, a

- 1 list, a document, something that just says
- 2 reviewed the following files.
- 3 Q. Uh-huh.
- 4 A. Just so there's no duplication of
- 5 effort later. That's the only reason.
- 6 Q. Right. Would it surprise you if one
- 7 of your colleagues who would have done -- who
- 8 would have worked on the same scenario that I
- 9 just identified did not prepare a list?
- 10 A. I can't speak for my colleagues.
- 11 Everybody's different.
- 12 Q. Okay. There was another question that
- 13 Mr. Baratta submitted, and let's see if I can
- 14 find the question. It's number 37, at the
- 15 top -- there you go:
- In your experience at State Farm, was
- 17 finding medical provider projects something
- 18 State Farm expected its SIU/MCIU investigators
- 19 to do?
- 20 And you responded: Yes.
- 21 My first question is, what did you
- 22 understand Mr. -- Mr. Baratta's question to
- 23 mean?
- 24 A. I expected that to mean was the MCIU

- 1 team put in place to look for and ferret out
- 2 medical provider fraud.
- 3 And my answer was yeah. That was what
- 4 I was hired to do.
- 5 Q. Did State Farm expect you to make up
- 6 facts supporting medical provider projects?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Did State Farm expect you to
- 9 manufacture evidence to support a medical
- 10 provider project?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Mr. Baratta also submitted the written
- 13 question -- let's see if I can find it on here.
- 14 I think it's 38: Is the job performance of an
- 15 SIU/MCIU investigator -- investigator evaluated
- in part based on how many medical provider
- 17 projects they conduct?
- 18 And you responded: Yes.
- 19 Can you explain how job performance is
- 20 tied to how many provider projects that you
- 21 conduct?
- 22 A. Well, the -- part of my -- not all,
- 23 part of my evaluation when I would sit down with
- 24 management was, how much work am I doing, how

- 1 many cases am I handling, what's the quality of
- 2 those cases, what's the quality of the work that
- 3 I'm doing, the feedback that my boss gets from
- 4 the people I interact with, meaning people in my
- 5 own team, team managers in the line, other team
- 6 managers in SIU, they would never say it, but
- 7 I'm assuming defense counsel as well.
- 8 So part of it was the workload, since
- 9 that's what we did for a living, then, yes, of
- 10 course it was, project. The more work you do,
- 11 the more -- the busier you are.
- 12 Q. You stated to Mr. Baratta's written
- 13 questions that you did not participate in any
- 14 multi-claim investigation or project concerning
- 15 Eastern Approach or Aquatic Therapy of
- 16 Chinatown. Is that an accurate answer?
- 17 A. That was very accurate.
- 18 Q. And you also stated that you did not
- 19 participate in any meetings in which any
- 20 multi-claim investigation or project into
- 21 Eastern Approach or Aquatic Therapy was
- 22 discussed. Was that an accurate answer?
- 23 A. Yes, it was.
- 24 Q. And you stated to Mr. Baratta's

- 1 written questions that you knew nothing about
- 2 the accusations made by State Farm against
- 3 Eastern Approach and Aquatic Therapy. Was that
- 4 an accurate answer?
- 5 A. I don't know what the accusations are.
- 6 Q. Since you provided your written
- 7 responses to Mr. Baratta, have you acquired any
- 8 additional information or any information at all
- 9 relating to Eastern Approach, Aquatic Therapy,
- 10 Dr. Wang, Dr. Stavropolskiy into this litigation
- 11 or any investigation into defendant's
- 12 activities?
- 13 A. None.
- 14 Q. Have you ever had communications with
- 15 any of the defendants?
- 16 A. Ever?
- 17 Q. That you recall.
- 18 A. I'm asking for a clarification.
- 19 Q. Yes, ever.
- 20 A. Dr. Stavropolskiy.
- 21 Q. And when would you have spoken to
- 22 Dr. Stavropolskiy?
- 23 A. (No response.)
- 24 Q. Let me ask you a different question.

1	MR. BARATTA: Well, can we
2	get an answer to that one?
3	MR. CASTAGNA: No, I want to
4	ask a different question.
5	BY MR. CASTAGNA:
6	Q. When you spoke to Dr. Stavropolskiy,
7	was it in relation to work at either Eastern
8	Approach or Aquatic Therapy?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Was he working somewhere else at the
11	time?
12	A. Yes.
13	MR. CASTAGNA: I'll turn you
14	over to Mr. Baratta to ask some
15	questions.
16	THE WITNESS: Do you mind if
17	I take a quick break and use the
18	restroom?
19	MR. CASTAGNA: Absolutely.
20	(At this time, a brief
21	recess was taken.)
22	BY MR. BARATTA:
23	Q. Mr. Denner, I want to follow up on
24	that last bit of testimony that you gave about

			71
1	_	or interaction you had with	
2		ropolskiy. What were you referring to?	
3	A.	I remember that I met	
4	Dr. Stav	ropolskiy years ago.	
5	Q.	In what context?	
6	A.	At a clinic in Northeast Philadelphia.	
7	Q.	Were you conducting an investigation?	
8	A.	Yes, sir.	
9	Q.	On behalf of State Farm?	
10	A.	Yes, sir.	
11	Q.	Into the treatment provided by	
12	Dr. Stav	ropolskiy?	
13	A.	Yes, sir.	
14	Q.	And was there a project?	
15	A.	Yes, sir.	
16	Q.	What was the name of the project?	
17	A.	I have no idea.	
18	Q.	What was the name of the facility?	
19	A.	I have no idea.	
20	Q.	It was in Northeast Philadelphia, you	
21	said?		
22	A.	Yes, sir.	
23	Q.	Was it called Rejuvenation?	
24	A.	Dr or Dr. Mr. Baratta, I've got	

1	to be honest with you. I really I might be
2	able to rack my brain about it for a little
3	while and come up with an answer, but sitting
4	here right now, I do not remember the name of
5	the clinic. I just remember Dr. Stavropolskiy.
6	It was a very unusual name, so I
7	remember the name.
8	Q. And did you actually speak directly
9	with Dr. Stavropolskiy in the course of your
10	investigation?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And in a deposition? In an interview?
13	How did you do it?
14	A. Once on the telephone, that I
15	remember, briefly, and once at the clinic.
16	Q. And why were you at the clinic?
17	A. Conducting an investigation.
18	Q. Do you remember what the suspicion of
19	fraud was in that project?
20	MR. CASTAGNA: Object to the
21	form of the question.
22	You can answer.
23	THE WITNESS: I'm not
24	100 percent sure, but I can recollect

1	to the best of my ability, if you
2	would like.
3	BY MR. BARATTA:
4	Q. Please.
5	A. I believe it was performing billing
6	for services not rendered.
7	Q. And was Dr. Stavropolskiy suspected to
8	be billing for services not rendered?
9	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
10	the form of the question.
11	THE WITNESS: Is it okay to
12	answer?
13	BY MR. BARATTA:
14	Q. Yes.
15	A. I don't know if it was
16	Dr. Stavropolskiy or the clinic itself.
17	Q. But it was a clinic that
18	Dr. Stavropolskiy worked at?
19	A. Yes.
20	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
21	the form of the question.
22	BY MR. BARATTA:
23	Q. And did you create reports in
24	connection with that project?

			54
1	Α.	Some kind of report. I don't know	
2	what.		
3	Q.	Who was your supervisor at that time?	
4	Α.	David Murphy.	
5	Q.	And was there a litigation that was	
6	filed?		
7	Α.	No.	
8	Q.	Was counsel associated with that	
9	project?		
10	Α.	I believe there was.	
11	Q.	Do you know who it was?	
12	Α.	I don't know the name of the attorney.	
13	Q.	Okay. Do you know the name of the	
14	firm?		
15	Α.	I believe it was Britt, Hankins	
16	Schaible	& Moughan.	
17	Q.	Was Jim Moughan involved?	
18	Α.	I couldn't tell you, sir. There were	
19	a lot of	attorneys in that firm back then that	
20	were hand	lling cases.	
21	Q.	Did you	
22	Α.	Mr. Schaible, Mr. Moughan, Mr. Wall.	
23	Q.	Sorry. Did you conduct surveillance	
24	in that p	project?	

- A. I have no way of knowing that sitting
 here today.
 Q. Well, if we -- if you conducted
- 4 surveillance, would there be reports associated
- 5 with it?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And would you have done it yourself,
- 8 or would you have hired someone else to do it?
- 9 A. No, we would have hired an outside
- 10 source.
- 11 Q. And who would you have hired,
- 12 generally?
- 13 A. Back then, the only people that we
- 14 were using was a gentleman by the name of Marty
- 15 Lyons, L-Y-O-N-S, who, I believe, has been out
- of business for many, many years.
- 17 Q. And do you know, was -- was there any
- 18 sort of settlement reached with the doctors in
- 19 that project?
- 20 A. No recollection.
- 21 Q. Were there depositions taken?
- 22 A. Again --
- 23 MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
- 24 the form. I object to the form of

1	that question.
2	You can answer.
3	THE WITNESS: I don't even
4	know if there was litigation filed.
5	To the best of my recollection, there
6	was no litigation filed.
7	So whether there was
8	depositions in underlying cases, I
9	don't know, but I would doubt there
10	would had been formal depositions of
11	the actual providers in relation to
12	the case because I don't recollect any
13	affirmative action being filed.
14	BY MR. BARATTA:
15	Q. Are depositions in underlying cases
16	used to help conduct projects?
17	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
18	the form of the question.
19	THE WITNESS: We as part
20	of conduct as part of investigating
21	individual files, we might do
22	depositions of plaintiffs, depositions
23	of witnesses, depositions of doctors
24	that do treatment on those plaintiffs

1	or insureds or witnesses involved,
2	yes.
3	BY MR. BARATTA:
4	Q. But as you're doing them in the files,
5	are they also geared or in any way focused on
6	helping gain information which is helpful to the
7	overall project?
8	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
9	the form of the question.
10	THE WITNESS: It might be
11	helpful in the long run to the project
12	if it's about the same provider. I
13	mean, it might have we might be
14	able to eventually use it in the
15	project file or the or MCIU
16	investigation, whatever you want to
17	call it.
18	Again, we're we talked
19	before about using things
20	interchangeably, but the focus of the
21	deposition would be that particular
22	case, and the facts asked would be
23	about that particular case.
24	BY MR. BARATTA:

- 1 Q. In the projects in which
- 2 Dr. Stavropolskiy worked at the facility that
- 3 you investigated, was there a project file
- 4 opened?
- 5 MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
- 6 the form of the question.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I believe
- 8 there would have been.
- 9 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 10 Q. And was there a lead file in that
- 11 case?
- 12 A. Back then I don't believe lead files
- 13 even existed.
- 14 Q. What materials would have been in the
- 15 project file back then?
- 16 A. Any reports that would have been
- 17 created for management, if investigation was
- 18 conducted regarding surveillance, there would be
- 19 copies of whatever surveillance reports were
- 20 turned over to us.
- 21 If a surveillance disc containing
- 22 photographs or footage was sent to State Farm,
- 23 then a copy of that would have been placed in
- 24 the file.

1 If any outside source was used to conduct background information, you know, 2 3 general background information, where did 4 somebody get their license, how long had they been practicing, name of employees, any generic 5 background, if a report had been produced or 6 7 requested, that would be in the file. 8 If any statements were obtained during 9 the course of the investigation, statements of 10 insureds, claimants, witnesses, employees, 11 ex-employees, whoever that statement would be 12 of, copies of that statement would actually be 13 contained within the file. 14 Back then, we actually used an outside 15 source. We would take the statement, send the tape out to be transcribed. Transcribed report 16 17 would come back, and then it would be placed in 18 the file. 19 Did you take a statement from Q. 20 Dr. Stavropolskiy? 21 To my recollection, not that I recall, Α. 22 no. 23 When you went to the clinic, did you Q.

make a report of your -- strike that.

24

1	Did you do a clinic inspection?
2	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
3	the form of the excuse me
4	question.
5	THE WITNESS: I don't I
6	don't know how to even answer that
7	question. It was at the clinic, I
8	remember that. Did I walk around the
9	clinic? I'm sure I did.
10	Would you consider that a
11	clinic inspection? I don't know if I
12	would consider that or not. I mean,
13	we're talking 15 years ago maybe, ten
14	years ago, more. I don't know. I
15	don't know if that's considered a
16	clinic inspection or not when you show
17	up and introduce yourself and ask if
18	you could speak to the doctor.
19	BY MR. BARATTA:
20	Q. Why would you do that?
21	A. Back then, that was protocol. Things
22	are different over time. Just what we do when I
23	first left was completely different from what we
24	did two years before I left, which was

1	completely different from what I did ten years
2	ago, which was absolutely different from what I
3	did 15 years ago.
4	Q. But
5	A. So things change over time.
6	Q. When you were doing this investigation
7	at the facility where Dr. Stavropolskiy worked,
8	it was part of the protocol to go to the clinic
9	and actually talk to the doctor?
10	A. Yes, it
11	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
12	the
13	THE WITNESS: was.
14	MR. CASTAGNA: form of
15	the question.
16	BY MR. BARATTA:
17	Q. Was that something that State Farm
18	directed you to do, or was that something you
19	felt was just a good investigative technique?
20	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
21	the form of the question.
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know
23	whose decision it was. It was just
24	standard procedure for the people in

1		my unit, when we had an investigation,
2		to go to the clinic.
3	BY MR. BA	RATTA:
4	Q.	And did
5	A.	And whether
6	Q.	I'm sorry.
7	A.	Whether we as a collective group
8	decided t	hat was good investigative technique or
9	whether m	anagement said we would like you to do
10	this, I h	ave no recollection.
11	Q.	And did that investigative technique
12	change?	
13	A.	Over time, yes.
14	Q.	When?
15	A.	I have no idea.
16	Q.	Do you know why?
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	Was it did you find it to be a
19	valuable	source of information to actually go to
20	the clini	c and talk to the doctor?
21	A.	Personally?
22	Q.	Yeah.
23	A.	I did.
24	Q.	Why?

- 1 A. Because I found that if you're -- talk
- 2 to people and ask questions, you get answers.
- 3 Q. Makes sense. Do you know why
- 4 State Farm stopped having you do that?
- 5 A. No idea.
- 6 Q. Go back to some of the other things
- 7 that you had said. You said that you had been
- 8 called as an expert witness at various times?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. In what?
- 11 A. (No response.)
- 12 Q. Expert in what?
- 13 A. Insurance, claim handling.
- 14 Q. And in what context have you been
- 15 called as an expert?
- 16 A. I've been called as a witness on
- 17 behalf of the Federal Government to testify at
- 18 trial, criminal trial of individuals; I've been
- 19 called as a witness to testify at grand juries
- 20 on behalf of the government; I've been asked to
- 21 testify in Delaware County on behalf of the
- 22 District Attorney's Office; I've been asked to
- 23 testify at grand juries in Pennsylvania on
- 24 behalf of the State Attorney General's Office

- 1 regarding insurance matters.
- 2 Q. Those are all criminal cases?
- 3 A. No -- well, wait a minute. Yes. And
- 4 I've also testified in civil cases.
- 5 Q. As an expert?
- 6 A. Well, as State Farm's designee.
- 7 Whether State Farm is going to say I was their
- 8 expert or not, you would have to ask State Farm.
- 9 I was held up as State Farm's witness to testify
- 10 on behalf of State Farm.
- 11 Q. Do you remember the names of any of
- 12 the criminal cases that you actually testified
- 13 in in federal court?
- 14 A. United States Government versus
- 15 Michael Alston.
- 16 Q. A-L-S-T-O-N?
- 17 A. Yes. As far as the ones in Delaware
- 18 County, I can't remember, and as far as grand
- 19 juries, I'm not --
- 20 Q. Well, don't tell me that.
- 21 A. -- going to discuss any grand juries
- 22 at this time.
- 23 Q. The case against Michael Alston, was
- 24 that in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania?

- 1 A. I believe it was. And I don't know it
- 2 was captioned United States versus Michael
- 3 Alston. I just know he was a defendant.
- 4 Q. I think that was one of the cases you
- 5 mentioned was a RICO case when you had testified
- 6 earlier?
- 7 A. I said I thought we had applied RICO.
- 8 I couldn't remember.
- 9 Q. Was there a lawsuit filed by
- 10 State Farm against Mr. Alston as well?
- 11 A. I believe there was.
- 12 Q. And was that a federal case?
- 13 A. I don't remember if we filed that in
- 14 federal court or if we filed that in
- 15 Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas.
- 16 Q. You said that there was a procedure to
- 17 get claims to law enforcement?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. What is that procedure or what was
- 20 that procedure?
- 21 A. What was the time frame you're talking
- 22 about? Because that procedure changed over
- 23 time.
- 24 Q. If we can, let's talk 2010, 2011,

1	2012.	
2		MR. CASTAGNA: Object to the
3	:	form of the question.
4		THE WITNESS: You were
5	:	required to sit and discuss the file
6	•	with your management to make sure that
7	;	your management agrees that it is a
8	:	file that should go to law
9	•	enforcement.
10		You're then required to fill
11		out a law enforcement referral form,
12	,	which is a form created by State Farm.
13		You were then required to
14		contact the National Insurance Crime
15	J	Bureau, NICB, advise NICB that you
16	J	have a file that you would like to be
17	;	referred to law enforcement. NICB
18	,	would then send you a request to
19	•	obtain a copy of that file.
20		You would then have to file
21	i	a photocopy, all contents of the file.
22	•	Then you would send the law
23	•	enforcement referral form, which would
24	;	identify why the case was being

1	referred and where you wanted the case
2	to be referred to, which law
3	enforcement agency, what the subject
4	matter was.
5	And you would then take that
6	form and the photocopies and send them
7	off to NICB with their request forms,
8	so that they know why it's coming in,
9	and then they would take care of
10	getting it to the appropriate law
11	enforcement authorities through
12	whatever channels they use, and that's
13	only after you received your
14	management's approval to do so.
15	BY MR. BARATTA:
16	Q. And what was your training with
17	respect to when a claim should be referred to
18	law enforcement?
19	MR. CASTAGNA: I'm going to
20	object to the form of the question.
21	THE WITNESS: There was
22	really no formal training as to when
23	one should and when one shouldn't.
24	It was more or less if you
Ī	

1	knew that there was an outside
2	investigation that involved law
3	enforcement because you received
4	notice from NICB or a law enforcement
5	update saying we're looking for files,
6	anybody has one, we'd like it, then
7	you knew that they would be interested
8	in this file.
9	So you would say, Hey, I
10	have one. I'll have to get it through
11	the proper channels.
12	Maybe there was one that you
13	just had ironclad information. Maybe
14	somebody confessed to a jump-in or
15	maybe somebody confessed to going out
16	and staging an automobile accident.
17	And you would say to your
18	boss, Hey, this is, you know, a good
19	one to refer to District Attorney's
20	Office. We now have two recorded
21	statements where these individuals
22	confessed that their cousin put them
23	up to staging an accident.
24	Or their cousin or whoever

1	said, you know, I had an accident the
2	other night. Nobody was in the car.
3	Do you want to pretend you were in it?
4	And these people could prove
5	that they were in Ocean City,
6	New Jersey during the time of the
7	accident. So we have ironclad
8	evidence that, you know, this is an
9	absolute fraud.
10	This would be a really good
11	case to refer to law enforcement. Get
12	your manager to agree and go through
13	all the proper channels.
14	Everybody was different.
15	There was no this absolutely goes to
16	law enforcement, this doesn't.
17	I would not refer cases to
18	law enforcement unless I was in my own
19	mind 100 percent convinced it was a
20	fraudulent case.
21	BY MR. BARATTA:
22	Q. What if you were 100 percent convinced
23	that a medical provider in a case in a claim was
24	providing fraudulent medical care? Wouldn't

1	that be something that should be referred to law
2	enforcement?
3	MR. CASTAGNA: Object
4	THE WITNESS: It might be.
5	MR. CASTAGNA: Object to the
6	form of the question. I'm sorry. I
7	didn't hear your question I mean,
8	I'm sorry, I didn't hear your answer.
9	THE WITNESS: It might be.
10	BY MR. BARATTA:
11	Q. Why wouldn't it be?
12	A. Well
13	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
14	the form of the question.
15	THE WITNESS: you would
16	need evidence that that particular
17	file had evidence of insurance fraud
18	in it.
19	Law enforcement deals with
20	criminal activity, and the burden of
21	proof in criminal is totally different
22	from the burden of proof in civil.
23	And law enforcement in the past has
24	always made it clear to insurance

1	companies, you know, if you suspect
2	something, that's one thing, but we
3	don't want 10,000 cases across our
4	desk.
5	We have very limited
6	resources. And every time you think
7	something might be wrong in a file,
8	law enforcement can't just start
9	investigating.
10	But if you have hard
11	evidence of fraud, sure, we would like
12	to take a look at the file, but if you
13	have, well, I'm really sure he did or
14	didn't get all the treatment, that's
15	just not that doesn't meet anywhere
16	near close to the burden of proof in a
17	criminal case. That's not the kind of
18	thing that a law enforcement officer
19	can even investigate.
20	But if somebody says, Hey, I
21	know I wasn't in the car, I was in
22	Ocean City, New Jersey that day, I can
23	prove it, that's clear clear case
24	of insurance fraud. So that's why I

1	said it all depends what the
2	circumstances are in the file.
3	BY MR. BARATTA:
4	Q. Well, in a project where you're doing
5	a multi-claim investigation of a medical
6	provider
7	A. Uh-huh.
8	Q and you say say you reached the
9	conclusion that all of the treatment being
10	rendered at this medical provider is fraudulent
11	because it's being rendered pursuant to, say, a
12	predetermined treatment protocol, and you were
13	100 percent convinced that that was happening,
14	would you then refer those files to law
15	enforcement?
16	MR. CASTAGNA: I'm going to
17	object to the form of
18	THE WITNESS: Possibly.
19	MR. CASTAGNA: the
20	question.
21	BY MR. BARATTA:
22	Q. Would there be any reason not to?
23	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
24	the form of the question.

1	THE WITNESS: Again, my past
2	experience shows me that law
3	enforcement argues that their burden
4	of proof in a criminal case is totally
5	different than the civil burden of
6	wrongdoing.
7	So what we've an
8	insurance company might feel civilly
9	is inappropriate treatment or
10	inappropriate billing, inappropriate
11	documentation for the codes being used
12	may not be clear and convincing
13	evidence of a criminal act.
14	I'm not a lawyer. I don't
15	know what the difference is. That's
16	why I said possibly.
17	That might be something I
18	would want to sit down with an NICB
19	agent who has a lot more experience in
20	dealing with law enforcement and say
21	is this something law enforcement
22	would or wouldn't be interested in.
23	BY MR. BARATTA:
24	Q. Is a report or was a report to the

1	NICB only made in order to make a report to law
2	enforcement?
3	A. No.
4	Q. So what other circumstances would you
5	make a report to the NICB of a claim or a number
6	of claims?
7	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
8	the form of the question.
9	THE WITNESS: You would fill
10	out the law enforcement form only if
11	you were sending a specific file to
12	law enforcement.
13	You could refer cases, an
14	individual case, a series of cases,
15	multiple claims to NICB anytime that
16	you believed that it was evidence of
17	fraud.
18	BY MR. BARATTA:
19	Q. And would you do that?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And
22	A. Would I, meaning Jeff?
23	Q. Yes, you, Jeff.
24	A. Yes, I would do that.
1	

1	Q. And was that was it your
2	understanding that that's what State Farm
3	expected you to do?
4	A. State Farm expected us to refer cases
5	that State that the investigator and the
6	management thought were appropriate to send to
7	NICB to NICB.
8	Q. The ones that were appropriate to send
9	to NICB were the ones where you suspected fraud?
10	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
11	the form of the question.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	BY MR. BARATTA:
14	Q. So you didn't have to have that
15	ironclad, beyond-a-reasonable-doubt belief? You
16	just had to have a suspicion of fraud, and that
17	was a basis to refer it to the NICB?
18	A. Well
19	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
20	the form
21	THE WITNESS: not
22	suspicion.
23	MR. CASTAGNA: of the
24	question.
1	

1	THE WITNESS: You actually
2	had to have evidence into your file of
3	fraud, just like you wouldn't send it
4	to counsel and ask if there's well,
5	strike that.
6	You wouldn't expect counsel
7	to say let's file affirmative action
8	simply based on suspicion. There
9	would have to be evidence of whatever
10	counsel says we're filing for.
11	There's all different things
12	I've seen in complaints. Not all of
13	them are the same. They're never
14	cookie cutter. One case involves, you
15	know, X, Y and Z, the next case
16	involved A, B, C, the next case
17	involved A, X, Y.
18	Each case is different.
19	Each case is on the facts and the
20	burden of the case, but there's always
21	evidence to support whatever the
22	allegations are in the complaint.
23	Same thing to NICB. If
24	you're going to refer a series of 10,

1	15, 20, 30, 50 files to NICB, there
2	needs to be evidence in those files of
3	what you're alleging when you send it
4	to NICB, not just I think it's there.
5	You need to conduct an investigation.
6	And that investigation,
7	whether it be statements,
8	surveillance, review of files,
9	interviews with patients, interviews
10	with ex-employees, whatever your
11	investigation is, needs to be
12	evidence, and you need to get all that
13	evidence to NICB as well.
14	NICB doesn't just want a
15	whole bunch of files with somebody
16	saying I think there's something here.
17	They want to see the evidence.
18	BY MR. BARATTA:
19	Q. Did you ever have a project
20	involving against a medical provider where
21	you had a lot of files that you had looked at
22	and had formed a very strong belief that there
23	was fraud being committed by the medical
24	provider, and you thought you had evidence of it

1	in the files, would you send those files during
2	the course of the project to the NICB?
3	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
4	the form of the question.
5	THE WITNESS: I'm confused,
6	because it sounded like you said would
7	you, have you. Would you and have you
8	are two different things.
9	BY MR. BARATTA:
10	Q. Would you?
11	A. Would I?
12	Q. Yes.
13	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
14	the form.
15	THE WITNESS: Would I? Yes.
16	BY MR. BARATTA:
17	Q. And is there any reason during the
18	course of the project not to send files that you
19	believe fraud was occurring in to the NICB?
20	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
21	the form of the question.
22	THE WITNESS: I can't answer
23	that. Every investigation is totally
24	different. So I'm going to have to

1	say it all depends on on the
2	particular case, and I would have to
3	see what my case is, what the evidence
4	in my case is, how many files are
5	involved. I can't just speculate on a
6	what-if case.
7	BY MR. BARATTA:
8	Q. Mr. Castagna asked you about whether
9	anyone had ever made a false accusation of
10	fraud.
11	Have you ever in your lifetime read or
12	heard about someone having been convicted of a
13	crime they didn't commit?
14	A. Sure.
15	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
16	the form of the question.
17	BY MR. BARATTA:
18	Q. And in any of those circumstances do
19	you think the police officers who made the
20	arrest actually believed they had the right guy?
21	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
22	the form of the question.
23	THE WITNESS: I'm sure they
24	believed it.

- 1 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 2 Q. Okay. And so you think it's possible
- 3 for an investigator to form a wrong conclusion
- 4 that might lead to a bad conviction?
- 5 MR. CASTAGNA: Objection.
- 6 Relevance. Calls for speculation.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I guess
- 8 that's possible, sure.
- 9 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 10 Q. Mr. Castagna asked you whether there
- 11 was ever any monetary award or reward for
- 12 working on multi-claim investigations, and you
- 13 said you would have a hard time answering those
- 14 questions. Why?
- 15 A. Because our salary structure and our
- 16 reimbursement structure on how we received pay
- 17 raises has varied over time.
- 18 And I was a 25-year employee at
- 19 State Farm. So to answer that question, you
- 20 would have to tell me when you're talking about.
- 21 Q. Well, was there ever a time that any
- 22 part of the calculus was work that you had done
- 23 on multi-claim investigations?
- 24 MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to

1	the form of the question.
2	THE WITNESS: I believe so,
3	yes.
4	BY MR. CASTAGNA:
5	Q. And do you know what the calculus was?
6	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
7	the form
8	THE WITNESS: I have no
9	idea
10	MR. CASTAGNA: of the
11	question.
12	THE WITNESS: how
13	management came up with the calculus.
14	BY MR. BARATTA:
15	Q. And was there any strike that.
16	We'll come back to that.
17	You said that there were times you had
18	conducted investigations where you found that
19	there had been no fraud committed by the medical
20	provider; right?
21	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
22	the form.
23	THE WITNESS: I said there
24	were times I conducted investigations

1	that I thought my investigation
2	wouldn't warrant a further referral
3	because I didn't think there was
4	any I didn't think there was enough
5	evidence or anything really there to
6	warrant anything further to look at or
7	any referrals or any law enforcement
8	or any referrals to an attorney.
9	Maybe there were some anomalies here,
10	anomalies there, but, other than that,
11	nothing.
12	BY MR. BARATTA:
13	Q. The first time you and I met was
14	because I served you with a subpoena in the
15	Lugiano case. Do you recall that?
16	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection.
17	Relevance.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, you came
19	to my home.
20	BY MR. BARATTA:
21	Q. And had you conducted an investigation
22	involving Dr. Darren Lugiano at some point?
23	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection
24	THE WITNESS: I

1	had conducted investigation
2	MR. CASTAGNA: I just want
3	to place an objection, Jeff
4	THE WITNESS: What's that?
5	MR. CASTAGNA: I just want
6	to put an objection
7	THE WITNESS: Sure. Go
8	ahead.
9	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
10	the form of the question. Objection
11	to relevance.
12	You can answer.
13	THE WITNESS: I conducted an
14	investigation regarding a doctor that
15	was treating patients at a Dr. Lugiano
16	clinic.
17	BY MR. BARATTA:
18	Q. Okay. And in the course of that
19	investigation, did you come to believe that
20	there was no fraud occurring at the Lugiano
21	clinic that you were investigating?
22	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
23	the form of the question. Also
24	objection to relevance.

1	THE WITNESS: I came to the
2	conclusion that there was not enough
3	evidence for me to continue going
4	forward. And when I was asked to
5	consider whether we should or
6	shouldn't go forward, and I was trying
7	to decide what we should or shouldn't
8	do, the doctor passed away.
9	BY MR. BARATTA:
10	Q. Did you discover or observe any
11	evidence of fraud being perpetrated by
12	Dr. Lugiano or at the clinic itself?
13	MR. CASTAGNA: Object to the
14	form of the question. Also object to
15	relevance.
16	THE WITNESS: No.
17	BY MR. BARATTA:
18	Q. And did you conduct surveillance of
19	that in that project?
20	A. I did.
21	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
22	the form of the question. Objection
23	to relevance.
24	BY MR. BARATTA:

1	Q. And did you create reports and other
2	documents related to that investigation?
3	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
4	the form of the question. Objection
5	to relevance.
6	THE WITNESS: I did.
7	BY MR. BARATTA:
8	Q. Are you aware that State Farm later
9	sued Dr. Lugiano for fraud?
10	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
11	the form of the question. Objection
12	to relevance.
13	THE WITNESS: You served me
14	on a subpoena, so I assume there was.
15	BY MR. BARATTA:
16	Q. And do you know who conducted any
17	further investigation after you had finished
18	your earlier investigation concerning
19	Dr. Lugiano?
20	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
21	the form of the question. Objection
22	to relevance.
23	THE WITNESS: I was led to
24	believe that it was Doug Babin, and I

1	don't know if others in the unit
2	assisted him or not. I have no idea.
3	BY MR. BARATTA:
4	Q. Do you know who the attorneys were who
5	filed the lawsuit against Dr. Lugiano?
6	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
7	the form of the question.
8	THE WITNESS: Who
9	actually
10	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
11	relevance.
12	THE WITNESS: filed the
13	lawsuit?
14	BY MR. BARATTA:
15	Q. Yes.
16	A. No, no idea.
17	Q. Did you speak to Mr. Castagna when I
18	served you with a subpoena in the Lugiano case?
19	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
20	the form of the question.
21	THE WITNESS: I did.
22	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
23	relevance.
24	BY MR. BARATTA:

1	Q. And what did he say?
2	A. He told me to hold on and wait, not to
3	show up, that he would contact you to find out
4	what it was all about.
5	Q. Did you tell Mr. Castagna that you had
6	conducted an earlier investigation connected to
7	Dr. Lugiano?
8	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
9	the form of the question.
10	THE WITNESS: I told
11	him that
12	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
13	relevance.
14	THE WITNESS: I may have
15	records somewhere that might have
16	something that might have Lugiano's
17	name in it.
18	BY MR. BARATTA:
19	Q. You said in response to some questions
20	that Mr. Castagna asked you about RICO and
21	and RICO appearing on a bill, that counsel
22	decides whether RICO applies; right?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. So if counsel has put on its bill the

1	word "RICO," would you take that to mean that
2	counsel has decided that RICO applies?
3	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
4	the form of the question. Also calls
5	for
6	THE WITNESS: I have no
7	idea
8	MR. CASTAGNA:
9	speculation.
10	THE WITNESS: what
11	counsel means, what you would have
12	to ask counsel what counsel means. I
13	don't know what their thought process
14	is about I don't if they think
15	RICO applies in a particular case,
16	they'll put it in writing to me.
17	They'll say, you know, RICO applies
18	and this is why.
19	Now, why they would put it
20	on a bill, I that's something you
21	would have to ask counsel why it's on
22	a bill. I only know when they put it
23	in writing, RICO applies for a couple
24	of reasons, and then they give the

1	specific reasons why RICO applied in
2	an affirmative action.
3	BY MR. BARATTA:
4	Q. One of the RICO cases that you
5	identified that you could recall was against Sam
6	Fishman?
7	A. I said I think State Farm part of
8	that case involved a RICO.
9	Q. And do you recall what the allegations
10	were against Sam Fishman?
11	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection.
12	THE WITNESS: Well, again
13	MR. CASTAGNA: Let me just
14	object. Objection to the form of the
15	question. Objection to relevance.
16	You can answer the question.
17	THE WITNESS: I said
18	Mr. Fishman and others, and I believe
19	it was billing for services not
20	rendered, staged accidents, falsifying
21	medical records, and other things.
22	There was a litany of things that were
23	alleged.
24	BY MR. BARATTA:

1	Q. Do you know who the counsel was
2	connected to that RICO matter involving Sam
3	Fishman?
4	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
5	the form of the question.
6	THE WITNESS: I believe
7	there were a lot of different people
8	involved.
9	BY MR. BARATTA:
10	Q. Was Goldberg, Miller & Rubin one of
11	them?
12	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
13	the form of the question.
14	THE WITNESS: I believe so.
15	BY MR. BARATTA:
16	Q. What specifically did you talk to
17	Mr. Castagna about this morning before the
18	deposition?
19	MR. CASTAGNA: I'm going to
20	object. Asked and answered.
21	THE WITNESS: To let him
22	know that you had came to my house and
23	served me with a subpoena in that
24	other case, wanted to let him know

1	that you had reached out to me via
2	telephone several times, wanted to let
3	him know that I sent you a paper
4	document that said something at the
5	top saying MCIU or SIU dashboard, I
6	wanted to let him know that I sent you
7	these answers.
8	And I also was honest and
9	told him that I met with you Tuesday,
10	because you called me and said now
11	that it's going to be formal and I'm
12	actually going to have a chance to ask
13	you questions under oath for the first
14	time, would you agree to finally sit
15	down and meet with me face-to-face and
16	talk with me, and I said sure, since
17	I'm going to have to meet you anyway.
18	And then he said you
19	know, he asked me questions about, you
20	know, State Farm, and do you recollect
21	things about your past. And I'm like,
22	things have changed so much since when
23	I worked there.
24	He asked me just some

1	generic questions about, you know, my
2	employment, nothing specific. Oh, he
3	asked me about this case, if I knew
4	anything, if I had any documents, if I
5	had ever been to any meetings that he
6	needed to be aware of, if I reviewed
7	anything that he should be aware of.
8	I told him I had absolutely
9	no knowledge. I even told him that as
10	far as some of the defendants go, I
11	didn't even know who one of the
12	defendants even was, never even heard
13	of them before.
14	BY MR. BARATTA:
15	Q. Did you tell Mr. Castagna about your
16	prior investigation involving Dr. Stavropolskiy?
17	A. No.
18	Q. At some point Mr. Ed Bradley or Jim
19	Moughan called you in connection with this case?
20	A. Yeah, I think you told me you asked
21	me that the other day, and I think I told you
22	that Mr. Bradley asked me if I ever got a
23	subpoena, would I just let him know.
24	So that was really the conversation I

- 1 had with Mr. Bradley, was -- I don't -- I think
- 2 Mr. Moughan may have been in the room with him,
- 3 but that was it.
- 4 Ed Bradley and I really didn't discuss
- 5 the case in any way, shape or form. I just told
- 6 him if I ever did get a subpoena, I would place
- 7 a phone call to him and let him know.
- 8 And then I think he said, you know
- 9 what, make sure you just let Rich know, meaning
- 10 Mr. Castagna. And then I did -- after I got
- 11 served, I did let Mr. Castagna know that I was
- 12 served.
- 13 But I never discussed anything with Ed
- 14 Bradley regarding this case, never discussed
- 15 anything with Mr. Moughan regarding this case,
- 16 and I never discussed anything with Rich
- 17 Castagna involved in this case either, or
- 18 anybody at Mr. Goldberg's firm involved in this
- 19 case, if there is anybody.
- 20 O. You indicated that what you were hired
- 21 to do at State Farm was ferret out medical
- 22 provider fraud. Was -- were the other people in
- 23 your unit also similarly focused?
- 24 MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to

1	the form of the question.
2	THE WITNESS: First off, I
3	don't think I ever used the word
4	"ferret out," but
5	BY MR. BARATTA:
6	Q. The transcript will speak for itself,
7	but
8	A. Okay.
9	Q what was your job with respect to
10	medical provider fraud?
11	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
12	the form of the question.
13	THE WITNESS: To investigate
14	claims where potential fraud may
15	exist, to determine whether or not
16	there was or wasn't fraud being
17	conducted by medical providers in or
18	around Philadelphia metropolitan area.
19	And then when I worked for Michael
20	Knox, it was the same exact thing, but
21	it was Northern Jersey and New York.
22	BY MR. BARATTA:
23	Q. And did Mr. Costanzo in 2010, '11, '12
24	have the same job you had?
Ī	

-	_	
1		es.
2	Q. D	oid he have the same supervisor in
3	that time f	rame?
4	A. Y	es.
5	Q. A	and was it Mr. Bowles and
6	Mr. Acornle	ey?
7	A. Y	eah, I think that's about the time
8	Mr. Bowles	retired, actually, and
9	Mr. Acornle	ey John and I worked for
10	Mr. Bowles,	and Bryan Acornley had a different
11	team.	
12	A	and then when Austin Bowles retired,
13	everybody w	vent under Bryan Acornley, and Bryan
14	Acornley ju	st absorbed both teams and became a
15	team manage	er for everyone.
16	Q. H	Now many multi-claim investigations of
17	medical pro	oviders would you say you've
18	participate	ed in?
19		MR. CASTAGNA: Objection.
20	A	asked and answered.
21		THE WITNESS: I answered
22	t	chat already. Over my 20-some-year
23	c	career, too many for me to recollect.
24	BY MR. BARA	ATTA:

1	
_	
1	Q. How many formal projects involving
2	medical providers have you been involved with?
3	A. I use the term "MCIU investigation"
4	and "formal project" to be the same. To me
5	they're as we discussed before, could be one
6	of those words that's interchangeable, so the
7	answer would be the same.
8	Q. Do you know if Mr. Costanzo used those
9	terms the same way?
10	A. I don't know. You would have to ask
11	John.
12	Q. How many however many multi-claim
13	investigations and/or medical provider projects
14	there have been, what percentage of those
15	strike that. Bad question.
16	Of the multi-claim investigations and
17	projects that you've participated in, what
18	percentage would you estimate involved medical
19	providers?
20	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
21	the form of the question.
22	THE WITNESS: Me personally?
23	BY MR. BARATTA:

24 Q. Yeah.

1	A. 98 percent.
2	Q. And
3	A. Maybe 95 percent.
4	Q. And do you think that percentage would
5	be similar for Mr. Costanzo and Mr. Babin and
6	the others in your unit?
7	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
8	the form of the question. Calls for
9	speculation.
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	BY MR. BARATTA:
12	Q. Why?
13	A. My past experience has shown me that
14	Mr. Babin was involved quite a number of times
15	with investigations involving strictly property
16	damage claims or body shop claims that he was
17	asked to assist on.
18	So I would say that most of us dealt
19	primarily with investigations that centered
20	around medical of some type, whereas Mr. Babin
21	might have a little bit more experience dealing
22	with some body shop, glass-issue claims, other
23	types of claims besides just medical.
24	Q. So other than Mr. Babin, when you say

1	the rest of us, do you include Mr. Costanzo in
2	that group?
3	A. Yes.
4	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
5	the form of the question.
6	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
7	I'll slow down with regard to my
8	answer.
9	MR. CASTAGNA: That's okay.
10	I think she's getting it.
11	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm so
12	sorry. I know not to speak two at a
13	time.
14	BY MR. BARATTA:
15	Q. What were some of the ways that you
16	would identify doctors to investigate?
17	A. My gosh.
18	MR. CASTAGNA: I'm sorry.
19	Could I have that read back?
20	(At this time, the court
21	reporter read back from the record, as
22	requested.)
23	MR. CASTAGNA: Object to the
24	form of the question.

1	You can answer the question.
2	THE WITNESS: Gosh, a whole
3	litany of possibilities. There is no
4	one or two or even three things
5	written in stone. There's a whole
6	litany of possibilities on how you
7	would get a referral to look at a
8	particular medical center or a
9	particular medical provider or a
10	particular group of people.
11	BY MR. BARATTA:
12	Q. Was there any competition amongst the
13	MCIU/SIU investigators to be the guy doing the
14	most projects or the most investigations?
15	MR. CASTAGNA: Object to the
16	form of the question.
17	THE WITNESS: Might be with
18	some people. Personally, I didn't
19	care.
20	BY MR. BARATTA:
21	Q. Who were the people that you think
22	might have had that feeling?
23	A. Oh, I don't know.
24	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection.

1	THE WITNESS: You would have
2	to ask them that. I didn't care who
3	did or didn't have the most.
4	BY MR. BARATTA:
5	Q. You indicated there were lots of
6	different law firms that you had hired over the
7	years. Were there specific law firms that were
8	used in connection with medical provider
9	projects?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. And which ones were those?
12	A. Britt, Hankins, Schaible & Moughan,
13	that then became Britt, Hankins & Moughan;
14	Bennett, Bricklin & Saltzburg; and Goldberg,
15	Miller & Rubin.
16	Q. Any others?
17	A. There might be some on the peripheral
18	here and there, but primarily they were the
19	three main firms that handled affirmative
20	litigation projects. To assist on claim files
21	in projects, we at times would use Lee Rosenau
22	at Dion, Rosenau. Catherine
23	Q. Harrington?
24	A Harrington at times would be used.

1	They handled individual files.
2	Out in the counties, if we had to have
3	a county attorney, John Barr's office would be
4	used. Fred Smith, before he retired, out in
5	Norristown could be used.
6	Years and years and years ago, before
7	passing away, there was apologize Martin
8	Corr, Sean Corr. But handling affirmative
9	litigation was pretty much related to the three
10	firms I mentioned originally.
11	Q. So if you had a multi-claim
12	investigation which you thought might go to
13	affirmative litigation at some point in the
14	future, would you limit yourself to bringing in
15	Goldberg, Miller & Rubin or Britt, Hankins &
16	Moughan or Bennett, Bricklin & Saltzburg to
17	assist you?
18	A. Well, you
19	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
20	the form of the question.
21	THE WITNESS: You could
22	bring in anybody originally. When I
23	say "originally," when you thought you
24	needed counsel to assist you on

1	underlying files and to assist you
2	with collecting evidence, you could
3	bring in anybody that was approved to
4	do SIU work.
5	But when you thought I
6	needed somebody to handle or when you
7	said to your boss, Hey, you know, we
8	may or may not be going the route of
9	affirmative litigation, I'm getting
10	some evidence here, you absolutely had
11	to then redirect it to one of those
12	three firms.
13	Or maybe at the beginning,
14	you could just pick one of those three
15	firms. That's always an option, too.
16	But if I was using I happened to
17	personally like Lee Rosenau. I just
18	liked his tenacity and his tactics at
19	lower court levels, you know, so I
20	used Mr. Rosenau an awful lot for
21	underlying cases.
22	But then as evidence went
23	on, for instance, in the case Singer
24	versus State Farm Insurance versus

1	Maurice Singer, I had to tell
2	Mr. Rosenau that he could no longer
3	handle cases because I was referring
4	it to Ed Bradley to handle the
5	possibility of affirmative action, and
6	Mr. Bradley would let me know whether
7	or not there would or wouldn't be
8	affirmative action, so
9	BY MR. BARATTA:
10	Q. Once that shift is made, is there an
11	effort to have all new underlying claims sent to
12	that office?
13	A. Well, that's
14	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
15	the form of the question.
16	THE WITNESS: That's a
17	decision that is then made with the
18	lead investigator, counsel and
19	management.
20	That is unfortunately, it
21	all depends, all depends on how many
22	cases are involved, how much
23	litigations there's going to be, how
24	large is the scope of the affirmative

1	action, how many underlying cases are
2	still left.
3	So that's a cost analysis
4	that needs to be done on each case to
5	figure out how it's going to be
6	handled.
7	BY MR. BARATTA:
8	Q. Did you ever bring Goldberg, Miller &
9	Rubin in on a project that you were handling?
10	A. Yes.
11	MR. CASTAGNA: Object to the
12	form
13	BY MR. BARATTA:
14	Q. How many?
15	MR. CASTAGNA: of the
16	question.
17	THE WITNESS: I, over the
18	years, have used Goldberg, Miller &
19	Rubin many, many, many times on
20	underlying cases as well as on
21	projects.
22	BY MR. BARATTA:
23	Q. And which lawyers in particular at
24	Goldberg, Miller & Rubin have you dealt with on

- 1 projects?
- 2 A. On projects? Cy Goldberg, Rich, Matt,
- 3 Lori Miller has at times sat in, I think
- 4 Kristine Meindl has sat in at times to handle
- 5 either depositions or to handle things with me.
- 6 As far as the actual affirmative
- 7 litigation, the only people that have ever
- 8 consulted with me to give me advice or to
- 9 prepare reports have either been Cy Goldberg or
- 10 Matt, under the direction of Rich Castagna.
- 11 O. How about Warren Holland?
- 12 A. No, I personally have never used
- 13 Warren. That's just because that's, you know --
- 14 he just didn't get involved in my cases.
- 15 Q. Do you know if Goldberg, Miller &
- 16 Rubin does project work for any other insurance
- 17 companies?
- 18 A. I have no idea. None of my business.
- 19 Q. When you would do surveillance, would
- 20 you need to get a manager's approval to do
- 21 surveillance?
- 22 A. When?
- 23 Q. Between 2010 and 2013.
- 24 A. Yes.

1	MR. CASTAGNA: I'm sorry. I
2	need to take a break.
3	MR. BARATTA: Go ahead.
4	(At this time, a brief
5	recess was taken.)
6	BY MR. BARATTA:
7	Q. So the last question was about
8	surveillance. And you said between 2010 and
9	2013, in order to do surveillance, you had to
10	get manager approval; right?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. And how would you do that?
13	A. Sat down with your manager, explained
14	why you wanted to do surveillance, asked. And
15	if it's granted, yes; if it's not, no.
16	Q. Would there be a written submission of
17	some kind?
18	A. Generally, no, not with me anyway. I
19	would sit down in person, because or manager
20	would want to know specifics on why you wanted
21	surveillance, what type of surveillance. They
22	want details. It's easier to explain that kind
23	of stuff in person than to sit and try to type
24	it all out.

- 1 Q. And was Mr. Acornley someone you would
- 2 do that with?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And between 2010 and 2013, if
- 5 Mr. Acornley was the supervisor, is it your
- 6 understanding that if surveillance was desired
- 7 on a project, Mr. Acornley would have to approve
- 8 it?
- 9 A. That was my understanding, yeah. You
- 10 couldn't just start ordering surveillance on
- 11 your own.
- 12 Q. And would the approval be just verbal,
- or would there be a writing associated with it?
- 14 A. All depends. That's -- I can't tell
- 15 you guaranteed it would be put in the file. I
- 16 would document, Personally discussed with
- 17 management, received approval for surveillance,
- 18 contacted, then I'd name whoever I contacted for
- 19 surveillance, surveillance to begin on, and then
- 20 I'd give the date.
- 21 So I would document in my lead file
- 22 how and where, when I was going to do
- 23 surveillance and who I hired.
- 24 Q. Did you ever get turned down by

- 1 Mr. Acornley to conduct surveillance?
- 2 A. Would I ever get turned down? I don't
- 3 think Mr. Acornley ever turned me down.
- 4 Mr. Bowles did once.
- 5 Q. Why?
- 6 A. He wanted to see more information. He
- 7 was like, get me a little more facts, a little
- 8 more information and come back to me. And I
- 9 said okay.
- 10 Q. Was there some specific reason that
- 11 you would seek surveillance?
- MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
- the form of the question.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Sir, would you
- 15 please be more -- please be more
- specific on surveillance of whom or
- 17 what?
- 18 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 19 Q. Well, we're talking about medical
- 20 providers, and let's say you're doing a medical
- 21 provider investigation.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. What are some of the reasons you would
- 24 want surveillance of a medical provider?

1	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
2	the form of the question.
3	THE WITNESS: Surveillance
4	of a medical provider to see how many
5	patients are coming and going in the
6	course of a day, to make sure that the
7	doctor actually is showing up to the
8	medical center to work, to verify how
9	long the patients are in the medical
10	center, to verify that the patients
11	are actually showing up to the medical
12	center.
13	And then you would compare
14	that information at a later date with
15	claim files when medical bills were
16	submitted.
17	BY MR. BARATTA:
18	Q. Does there have to be some reason to
19	suspect that some of those things aren't
20	happening before you'll seek surveillance?
21	A. Sure.
22	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
23	the form of the question.
24	BY MR. BARATTA:
l	

1	Q. And with respect to medical provider
2	investigations, do they get started because
3	there's some suspicion of possible wrongdoing by
4	the medical provider?
5	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
6	the form of the question.
7	THE WITNESS: That's a tough
8	one to answer. The way I can say it
9	is, an investigation gets started
10	because there's some wrongdoing, not
11	necessarily always because the
12	provider is doing some wrongdoing.
13	I personally have started
14	investigations because of staged
15	accident rings, group of individuals
16	showing up in the same files over and
17	over and over, or a group of
18	individuals from the same two
19	neighborhoods always showing up in
20	opposite cars of each other over and
21	over and over all around the city.
22	And then when you begin to
23	look at the files, if the same medical
24	center suddenly shows up in all of the

1	claims, you have to say to yourself
2	that's, you know, unusual. Be unusual
3	enough for all of these people to
4	constantly have accidents with each
5	other, but what's the likelihood that
6	in every single accident, they all end
7	up at the same clinic?
8	Then you might say to
9	yourself, is the clinic aware that all
10	these people somehow know each other,
11	or is the clinic involved? And then
12	you might want to broaden your
13	investigation not just on the
14	individuals, but also of the clinic or
15	the clinic owner or an employee of the
16	clinic.
17	It doesn't necessarily mean
18	the doctor has any knowledge. Maybe
19	it's the front office person. Maybe
20	it's a therapist in the clinic. I
21	mean, you have to keep an open mind
22	when you're conducting investigations.
23	The last thing you want to do is make
24	assumptions, and then set out to prove

1	your assumptions.
2	You keep an open mind and
3	you let the facts and you let the
4	evidence guide the investigation. You
5	don't make an assumption and then set
6	out to prove your assumption.
7	BY MR. BARATTA:
8	Q. Do you think everybody in the unit
9	abided by that principle?
10	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
11	the form of the question. Also calls
12	for speculation.
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know
14	if everybody handled their
15	investigations the way I handled my
16	investigations.
17	I can tell you that's the
18	way I was trained. That's the way
19	Dave Murphy, when I first started with
20	him 20-some years ago, insisted upon
21	it. He was a great teacher.
22	I had the benefit of going
23	to some training schools or training
24	classes, I guess you would call it,

1	early on in my career with outside
2	agencies, not State Farm, and that's
3	the way they trained me, so that's the
4	way that I stuck with it.
5	BY MR. BARATTA:
6	Q. Is it fair to say that every
7	multi-claim investigation or project that you
8	were involved in began based on some suspicion
9	of wrongdoing?
10	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
11	the form
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	MR. CASTAGNA: of the
14	question.
15	BY MR. BARATTA:
16	Q. I asked you in the written questions
17	that Mr. Castagna marked about lists that are
18	maintained by the SIU on ongoing projects. And
19	they're in it's questions 42 to 46, I
20	believe actually, that's wrong.
21	It should be the very last page of the
22	same.
23	A. Okay. I'm there, sir.
24	Q. And then question number 42 was: Did

1	the SIU/MCIU maintain written lists or
2	spreadsheets of the medical provider projects it
3	was conducting?
4	And your answer was: Yes.
5	Correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And then the next question was: When
8	were such lists maintained and who maintained
9	them and what information was contained on the
10	lists?
11	And is the answer that you wrote there
12	accurate?
13	A. May I read that answer first?
14	Q. Please.
15	A. Do I read it out loud or read it to
16	myself?
17	Q. You can read it to yourself.
18	A. Thank you.
19	Yes, that's accurate.
20	Q. Did the projects identified on the
21	project lists always concern medical providers?
22	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
23	the form of the question.
24	THE WITNESS: No.

- 1 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 2 Q. What else besides medical providers
- 3 would be on the project lists?
- 4 A. If there was -- there was -- I believe
- 5 at one point there was an investigation
- 6 involving a glass company, so there was a glass
- 7 claim on the list.
- 8 At one point there was an
- 9 investigation involving several body shops, so
- 10 there were body shops on the list. At one point
- 11 there was a tow company, so there was a tow
- 12 company, I believe, on the list. There was an
- 13 X-ray company, but I guess you would call that a
- 14 medical provider.
- 15 It would be whoever -- it would be
- 16 whatever we decided to name the project.
- 17 Sometimes the projects were named for the name
- 18 of the clinic. Sometimes the projects were
- 19 named for the owner of the clinic. Sometimes
- 20 the projects were named for the doctor at the
- 21 clinic, if he happened to be the owner.
- The name really wasn't important. It
- 23 was just a way to identify a project. That
- 24 didn't necessarily mean that's who an

1	affirmative action may or may not be filed
2	against and if one's ever going to be filed. It
3	was just a way of identifying that's the
4	project.
5	Q. And who maintained the list?
6	MR. CASTAGNA: Object to the
7	form of the question.
8	THE WITNESS: That's the
9	part where I said it all depends on
10	the time frame.
11	BY MR. BARATTA:
12	Q. Okay. Let's say well, I wasn't
13	clear on the time frame that you actually went
14	into SIU when it was named something else
15	originally
16	A. Okay.
17	Q but were you in SI was it called
18	SIU by 2000, by the year 2000?
19	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
20	the form of the question.
21	THE WITNESS: By the year
22	2000? I think by the year 2000, it
23	was called SIU.
24	BY MR. BARATTA:

1	Q. From 2000 until the time that you left
2	State Farm, in other words you're no longer
3	employed by State Farm; right?
4	A. That is correct.
5	Q. When did you leave State Farm?
6	A. August of 2015.
7	Q. So from 2000 to August of 2015, were
8	you in the SIU?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. And were you conducting investigations
11	in projects involving medical providers
12	throughout that time?
13	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
14	the form of the
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
16	MR. CASTAGNA: question.
17	BY MR. BARATTA:
18	Q. Throughout that entire period of time,
19	was in your experience, was there always a
20	list maintained of ongoing medical provider
21	projects?
22	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
23	the form of the question.
24	THE WITNESS: I hate to use
•	

1	the word "list." I always referred to
2	it as inventory. It was just a way of
3	tracking it was just a way for
4	claim reps and management to keep
5	track of inventory, what are you
6	working on, how many files, projects
7	claims are you handling, so that
8	management and upper management knew
9	what you were working on, so they knew
10	who was busy, who wasn't. So if
11	something new came in, who do you
12	assign that to.
13	You know, you had
14	management had to know what people
15	were working on and what projects or
16	files or cases were closing and what
17	weren't, so when something new came to
18	our team, who had time to handle it.
19	So you're not making lists
20	to track people. You're making an
21	inventory sheet to say, Hey, Jeff, you
22	can handle this, or, John, you can
23	handle this, or, Mario, you can handle
24	this. That was the whole point of it.

1	So I always referred to it as an
2	inventory.
3	BY MR. BARATTA:
4	Q. And referring to it as an inventory
5	from 2000 until the time that you left in 2015,
6	was there always an inventory of projects
7	maintained?
8	A. There was always an inventory of some
9	kind. Whether it was in the same format or not,
10	no. The format would change, you know, what it
11	looked like would change, but somehow, some way,
12	whether it was the unit secretary, whether it
13	was the team manager, somebody always had an
14	understanding of what each claim rep's inventory
15	was, how many active projects there were, who

They had to; otherwise, State Farm

16

17

18

19

yes.

21 doesn't know what you're doing on a daily basis.

the projects were assigned to, what they were

working on, how many claim files there were, how

many claim files each claim rep had, et cetera,

- 22 Q. And in your answer to number 43, you
- 23 refer to it as an inventory sheet? Is that --
- 24 A. Yes, for lack of a better term. It

- 1 may not had been a sheet. It may not had been
- 2 one page.
- 3 Q. Okay. But did everyone have a copy of
- 4 it in the unit?
- 5 A. I didn't keep a copy of it.
- 6 Q. How do you know it existed?
- 7 A. Because we would see it routinely at
- 8 staff meetings, because we would routinely go
- 9 over it at staff meetings.
- 10 Q. Who would have it at the meeting?
- 11 A. Management would divvy it out.
- 12 Q. So was that Mr. Acornley?
- 13 A. Mr. Bowles, Mr. Acornley, Mr. Murphy.
- 14 I mean, I had one dating back to my days with
- 15 Mr. Murphy.
- 16 Q. And how often would those kind of
- 17 meetings occur?
- 18 A. No rhyme or reason. I'm sure
- 19 State Farm would have liked them to occur more.
- 20 We were very busy people and we were very --
- 21 unfortunately, we were not individuals that sat
- 22 in an office behind a desk every day.
- 23 And we all lived in different areas
- 24 and we all worked in different areas of the

1	region, so it wasn't always easy to get
2	everybody into an office for a meeting.
3	So sometimes we could have two
4	meetings or three meetings in one month,
5	sometimes we could go six weeks without having a
6	meeting. I mean, there was really no rhyme or
7	reason to it.
8	Sometimes we would get a memo that
9	would say we have not had a staff meeting in a
10	while, I really need everybody to get in here
11	next Thursday. And then next Thursday, we would
12	all show up to the office for a 10:00 a.m.
13	meeting.
14	There was no guarantee you're having a
15	meeting every week or every two weeks or every
16	three weeks.
17	Q. Is it fair to say, though, that you
18	had those kinds of meetings several times a
19	year?
20	A. Oh, gosh, yes.
21	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
22	the form of the question.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, more than
24	several times a year.
1	

1	BY MR. BARATTA:
2	Q. Several times a quarter?
3	A. Yes.
4	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
5	the form of the question.
6	BY MR. BARATTA:
7	Q. And would it be Mr. Acornley or
8	Mr. Bowles who would have called those meetings?
9	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
10	the form of the question.
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, either
12	one of them or jointly, or sometimes
13	it was one of them, sometimes they
14	hold joint meetings together, Bryan's
15	team and Austin's team together in one
16	room.
17	Sometimes Austin did just
18	his team, sometimes Bryan did just his
19	team. And then when Austin retired,
20	it was just Bryan, so everybody showed
21	up when just Bryan did it.
22	Same thing when Mr. Murphy
23	was there. When Mr. Murphy was there
24	and Mr. Acornley wasn't, it was

1	Mr. Murphy and Mr. Bowles. So
2	sometimes it was just Mr. Murphy
3	having his team and just Mr. Bowles
4	having his team, and sometimes they
5	had a joint meeting.
6	BY MR. BARATTA:
7	Q. And when Mr. Acornley was in those
8	meetings either with Mr. Bowles or by himself,
9	did he have a copy of the inventory sheet?
10	A. Yes.
11	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
12	the form of the question.
13	BY MR. BARATTA:
14	Q. And would he go over the inventory
15	sheet with everyone?
16	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
17	the form of the question.
18	THE WITNESS: Sometimes, and
19	sometimes we would be so busy because
20	there were other things that were more
21	pressing that maybe we wouldn't get to
22	the inventory sheet that day, but he
23	would try to, but, you know, if there
24	were more important things at

1	State Farm things are always
2	changing at State Farm. So if there
3	were more important things to discuss,
4	he would not get to it.
5	BY MR. BARATTA:
6	Q. Other than the inventory sheets, were
7	there any other kind of sheets or lists or
8	inventories maintained within the MCIU?
9	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
10	the form of the question.
11	THE WITNESS: Well, we
12	anytime we did reviews, we would keep
13	an inventory sheet.
14	BY MR. BARATTA:
15	Q. Reviews of what?
16	A. If we had a litigation, let's say,
17	against a specific provider, we might I can
18	remember, for instance, we had a rather lengthy
19	litigation against a provider named Dr. Singer,
20	who I was in charge of, who had multiple clinics
21	spread throughout the city.
22	So during that litigation, we would
23	produce a sheet that listed all of the claim
24	numbers where that provider or providers were

1	involved, and those claims would have to be
2	looked at.
3	Q. When you were doing the investigation
4	that involved Dr. Stavropolskiy and the clinic
5	in the Northeast, would that clinic have
6	appeared on an inventory sheet?
7	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection.
8	He hasn't testified that he had any
9	investigation into Dr. Stavropolskiy.
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't
11	know. That was really a long time
12	ago. I don't know if we did that or
13	didn't do that back then. I have no
14	idea.
15	BY MR. BARATTA:
16	Q. Were there ever any effort within the
17	SIU or MCIU to focus on specific attorneys?
18	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
19	the form of the question.
20	THE WITNESS: Occasionally
21	we would review files that went to
22	specific law firms.
23	BY MR. BARATTA:
24	Q. Plaintiffs' law firms?

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. Can you think of any lawyers in
3	particular or law firms in particular?
4	MR. CASTAGNA: Don't
5	identify anyone.
6	MR. BARATTA: What's that?
7	MR. CASTAGNA: He's not
8	going to identify any investigation
9	MR. BARATTA: Are you
10	instructing him not to answer?
11	MR. CASTAGNA: No, I'm
12	pointing out the fact, we'll make it
13	clear, pointing out the fact that you
14	already asked for State Farm to
15	identify prior investigations that are
16	listed on these inventories or lists.
17	The court has already told
18	you you're not entitled to it, and now
19	you're trying to ask the witness for
20	that, or at least that's what it
21	sounds like you're trying to do.
22	MR. BARATTA: This is
23	something entirely new. You've never
24	identified in discovery what he's

1	talking about.
2	MR. CASTAGNA: What's that?
3	MR. BARATTA: This new focus
4	on lawyers that you've never
5	identified.
6	MR. CASTAGNA: I've never
7	identified that? Why would I identify
8	that? Why
9	MR. BARATTA: I don't know
10	why you and I need to have this
11	discussion.
12	MR. CASTAGNA: Well, he's
13	not going to testify to it, and if you
14	want to insist on going down that
15	road, we'll just call the judge, and
16	you can explain to the judge why
17	that's relevant to the case.
18	MR. BARATTA: Do you
19	represent this witness?
20	MR. CASTAGNA: You heard
21	what I said.
22	MR. BARATTA: Mr
23	MR. CASTAGNA: I'm going to
24	move for a protective order if you

1	move into that line of questioning,
2	and we can call the judge and we'll
3	just have a conference with the judge.
4	BY MR. BARATTA:
5	Q. Was Sam Fishman ever a lawyer on any
6	lists that you were using within SIU or MCIU to
7	direct investigations?
8	MR. CASTAGNA: You can
9	answer that question.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	BY MR. BARATTA:
12	Q. When was this?
13	A. In the past.
14	Q. How long ago?
15	A. I couldn't that, honestly, I can't.
16	Before I retired, but I years before I
17	retired, let's say that.
18	So when I say "years before I
19	retired," I don't know if I'm talking eight,
20	ten, six. There's no way for me to, like,
21	narrow that one down for you. When I say years
22	ago, I mean years ago.
23	Q. So in the time frame that you've
24	identified, 2006 to 2010, you believe that there

1	was some SIU or MCIU focus on Sam Fishman?
2	A. Well, it could have been
3	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
4	the form of the question.
5	THE WITNESS: It could have
6	been 2005. That's why I said I'm
7	not I don't want you to say it was
8	between 2006 and 2010. I don't know.
9	BY MR. BARATTA:
10	Q. Was it before 2010?
11	A. I can't I don't we were involved
12	in very I hate to say the word, but it was a
13	heated, contentious type of litigation with a
14	whole bunch of people.
15	And, yes, we were looking for files,
16	we were looking for accident, specific types of
17	accidents. And, yes, Mr. Fishman's office was
18	involved, so we were looking at claims that went
19	to his office.
20	What the exact time frame was of that
21	litigation that litigation went on for years,
22	years, and then there were branch-off
23	litigations from it. I don't know what the time
24	frame was that we said let's look at his office

1	to see if we could find some of those claims or		
2	claims that fit the profile.		
3	I don't I would be so bad if I said		
4	to you, Hey, it was 2009, and it turned out it		
5	was 2002. I would be like, wow, man, was I off.		
6	That's why I said I don't want to give you a		
7	year. I would really screw you up if I did		
8	that.		
9	Q. What was the profile of claims that		
10	you were looking at in connection with		
11	Mr. Fishman?		
12	MR. CASTAGNA: I'm going to		
13	object to the form of the question.		
14	THE WITNESS: I wasn't the		
15	claim handler handling the Sam Fishman		
16	litigation, so I don't know. You		
17	would have to ask the guys handling		
18	that litigation. There were two,		
19	three, four guys handling that. I was		
20	not one of them.		
21	BY MR. BARATTA:		
22	Q. Who were those two or three, four		
23	guys?		
24	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to		

1	the form of the question.
2	You can answer.
3	THE WITNESS: Doug Babin was
4	one of them. I think Doug was the
5	lead investigator no yeah, I
6	think Doug was.
7	There was a guy that left
8	the company. God, I forget his name
9	now. The guy left the company, went
10	on to another company, he was
11	involved. Adam Godoy was involved.
12	God, we're talking
13	Did you remember Adam's
14	name?
15	I think there was one or two
16	others that had their hand when I
17	say "hand," just kind of helping out.
18	Who was looking at the
19	first-party files, who was looking at
20	third-party, who was looking at the
21	provider, who was looking at attorney
22	lists, meaning that printout, I
23	couldn't tell you.
24	BY MR. BARATTA:

- 1 Q. There was an actual printout of an
- 2 attorney list?
- 3 A. For Sam Fishman, you know, his name or
- 4 his firm's tax ID number, however it was done,
- 5 and files, claim numbers.
- 6 Q. Was Mr. Costanzo one of the people
- 7 involved?
- 8 A. I don't know, but I don't think so. I
- 9 mean, I'm going to have to say I don't know, but
- 10 in hindsight, if I had to take an educated
- 11 guess, my educated guess is going to tell me
- 12 that John was not.
- 13 Q. The printed list that had
- 14 Mr. Fishman's name on it, did it have other
- 15 attorneys' names on it?
- 16 A. No, Sam Fishman's list -- and I call
- 17 it list for lack of a better term of not knowing
- 18 what else to call it. That's why I hate using
- 19 the term "list," because people think it means
- 20 something that it's -- it's not.
- 21 It would say -- it would be like tax
- 22 ID number belonging to Sam Fishman, to say
- 23 here's a tax ID number that shows all these
- 24 claims are currently somehow associated with

- 1 that tax ID number.
- 2 And then you would have to go look at
- 3 the claim and say why is it associated with that
- 4 tax ID number.
- 5 Q. So --
- 6 A. Because you don't even know why. Our
- 7 computer just says somehow someone's associated
- 8 with it.
- 9 O. So the mere connection of a claim to
- 10 Mr. Fishman was a reason for SIU to go look at
- 11 the claim?
- 12 A. Yes, during that litigation, yes.
- 13 Q. And who were the attorneys
- 14 representing State Farm in that litigation? Do
- 15 you know?
- 16 A. Oh, my God, there were a bunch of
- 17 people that were involved, people in corporate,
- 18 people, I think, in Chicago, I think
- 19 Mr. Goldberg's firm was involved, I think
- 20 Mr. Bradley somehow was involved, I think there
- 21 was a firm somewhere else in -- one in Chicago
- 22 that was involved. I don't know them all.
- 23 And it was -- I think Mr. Fishman had
- 24 attorneys. Other people involved had attorneys.

- 1 Like I said, it was a very -- it ended up not
- 2 being a simplified case. It ended up being a
- 3 very broad spectrum.
- 4 You were involved, I think, for
- 5 Mr. Fishman.
- 6 Q. Not for Mr. Fishman.
- 7 A. Okay. See, that's that I mean. I
- 8 don't even know. I wasn't involved. It was
- 9 just a very large case that went on for years.
- 10 Q. What was the outcome of it? Do you
- 11 know?
- 12 A. I have no idea. It was all sealed.
- 13 And I didn't work on it, thank God. I don't
- 14 even know all the parties.
- 15 Q. Was the investigation that you
- 16 conducted in the Northeast where
- 17 Dr. Stavropolskiy worked previously, do you know
- 18 if Jeffrey Sorkin was connected to that
- 19 facility?
- 20 A. That name rings a bell, but only
- 21 because I heard the name before, not because I
- 22 remember ever investigating anything to do with
- 23 a Jeffrey Sorkin before, no.
- 24 Q. Do you know who Pat Parr is?

- 1 A. My old boss?
- 2 O. Your old boss?
- 3 A. Well, my -- okay. My boss's boss.
- 4 She was a superior to me.
- 5 Q. Who was your boss that she was the
- 6 boss of?
- 7 A. Austin Bowles and Bryan Acornley.
- 8 Q. Did Mr. Acornley, do you know,
- 9 communicate with Ms. Parr about projects?
- 10 A. Sure, yes.
- 11 Q. How do you know?
- 12 A. I sat in on conference calls with
- 13 Bryan and Pat Parr on the other end of the
- 14 phone. I met with Pat Parr when she would fly
- 15 in and have meetings with us.
- 16 Bryan would come into meetings and
- 17 say, I just got off the phone with Pat, I'm
- 18 giving you an update, or he would send emails
- 19 out saying, Here's the latest update from Pat.
- 20 Sometimes he would forward things
- 21 saying, Look what just came across my desk, and
- 22 it would be from Pat. She was a very nice
- 23 person.
- 24 Q. Was there any written communication

1	that Mr. Acornley would regularly have with
2	Ms. Parr that you were aware of?
3	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
4	the form of the question.
5	THE WITNESS: Confusing
6	question. I apologize.
7	BY MR. BARATTA:
8	Q. As far as you know, did he have any
9	regular reporting requirements to her about what
10	was going on in the unit?
11	A. Oh, I don't know what his reporting
12	requirements to her were, whether they were
13	daily, weekly, monthly. I have no idea.
14	Q. Would you characterize Ms. Parr as
15	having been actively involved in what was going
16	on in your unit?
17	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
18	the form of the question.
19	THE WITNESS: I didn't
20	MR. CASTAGNA: You can
21	answer.
22	THE WITNESS: I didn't speak
23	to her on a day-to-day basis, but if
24	you're asking me do I believe she knew

1	what we were doing and did she have an
2	idea on what our unit did on on an
3	active quarterly, semiannually
4	annually basis, yes, she made it very
5	clear she did in the meetings she held
6	with us, along with on phone
7	conversations.
8	BY MR. BARATTA:
9	Q. And she was in that role during the
10	time that Mr. Bowles was still in the company?
11	A. I think he was either on his way out
12	and she was on her way in, or he had just left
13	and she came in. So Mr. Bowles was there, it
14	either was a very short time, or he was just out
15	the door and she was on her way in.
16	So I would say the person that had the
17	most communication or all the communication with
18	her would had been Bryan Acornley, not Austin.
19	Q. And was she still in that role when
20	you left the company?
21	A. Wow, that's tough for me to remember,
22	and only because when I left the company, we
23	were in the process of a State Farm
24	restructuring, and they were literally moving

- 1 everybody around.
- I believe that right before I left
- 3 with the company, I got a new -- new sectional
- 4 manager.
- 5 Q. Who was that?
- 6 A. I don't even know the gentleman's
- 7 name. It was a gentleman.
- 8 Q. Was he based in Concordville?
- 9 A. No -- oh, yes, yes, he was.
- 10 Q. Who was it? You don't remember his
- 11 name?
- 12 A. I don't. I apologize. But the last
- 13 person I had conversations with about my job or
- 14 the last person I had conversations with
- 15 regarding projects or memos or reports that I
- 16 would file would had been her.
- 17 Q. Would you ever file reports directly
- 18 to her?
- 19 A. Never.
- 20 Q. Did you ever draft a project
- 21 recommendation memo?
- 22 A. Several.
- 23 Q. And was there -- did you draft those
- 24 on your own, or did you draft those with the

- 1 assistance of counsel?
- 2 A. Both.
- 3 MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
- 4 the form of the question.
- 5 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 6 Q. Did you ever draft one with the
- 7 assistance of Goldberg, Miller & Rubin?
- 8 A. I don't know. I can't remember the
- 9 last one I drafted before I left. I don't
- 10 remember who my attorney was.
- 11 Q. You mean State Farm's attorney?
- 12 A. State Farm -- yes, when I say "my
- 13 attorney," I mean the attorney that I hired on
- 14 behalf of State Farm, yes, sir.
- 15 O. You've mentioned lead files in a
- 16 couple of answers. What is a lead file?
- 17 A. Simply a file in a project or MCIU
- 18 investigation, whichever you prefer to call it,
- 19 that is designated for the purposes of keeping
- 20 track of your investigation, your outside
- 21 resources, your reporting to management, your
- 22 expenses, so that they can be paid, so that
- 23 things aren't haphazardly scattered in ten
- 24 different claim files, which is the way things

- 1 at times were done in the past.
- 2 You would have to try to collect all
- 3 the different files and put them together to say
- 4 here is everything. Corporate was like, you
- 5 know, that's ridiculous. You've got things
- 6 scattered in ten different claims. We have a
- 7 very hard time seeing what's going on here.
- 8 Put it all in one file so we can see
- 9 what's going on, we can track what's going on.
- 10 Then we can see what you're paying, what you're
- 11 not paying, so we had a designee. That's known
- 12 as a lead file.
- 13 Q. And did that instruction come from
- 14 your direct managers or from some people above
- 15 them?
- 16 A. They came from somebody higher up.
- 17 They just passed the word down.
- 18 Q. And who was it that passed the word
- 19 that you needed to start using lead files?
- 20 A. Bryan Acornley, because he -- he told
- 21 us he was told.
- 22 Q. And did he tell you who told him?
- 23 A. People above him.
- 24 Q. Do you know when that was that you

1	started us	ing lead files?
2	Α.	I don't remember the date, no, sir.
3	Q.	Did you ever open any lead files?
4	Α.	Yes, sir.
5	Q.	How many?
6	A.	Half a dozen, possibly, give or take.
7	Q.	And when you opened a lead file, was
8	it always	because you had begun an
9	investigat	ion?
10		MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
11		the form of the question.
12		THE WITNESS: I opened a
13		lead file because I was investigating
14		something other than just one
15		individual claim. Maybe it was two
16		claims, maybe it was three claims,
17		maybe it was four claims.
18		But corporate didn't like
19		four different bills paid under four
20		different files. They wanted one bill
21		paid and designated to one file. In
22		order to do that, you needed to
23		designate one of those files as the
24		lead file. So, therefore, you would

1	designate one claim as a lead file.
2	But, yes, you were
3	investigating something; otherwise,
4	you didn't have if you didn't have
5	an investigation, you didn't have a
6	file.
7	BY MR. BARATTA:
8	Q. You said earlier that you've engaged
9	in so many investigations over the years that
10	you couldn't put a number on it; correct?
11	A. Correct, 20-some years.
12	Q. But you said you only opened a half a
13	dozen or so lead files?
14	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
15	the form of the question.
16	THE WITNESS: You asked me
17	right before I left, did you open any?
18	BY MR. BARATTA:
19	Q. No, I'm I'm sorry if I limited it,
20	but in all of your time at State Farm, how many
21	lead files would you say you opened?
22	A. That I can't answer. I apologize. I
23	thought you meant in the time frame before I
24	left, did I open any lead files. So I apologize

1	if I misconstrued your question.
2	Q. So what time frame were you operating
3	under
4	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection.
5	BY MR. BARATTA:
6	Q when you opened up a half dozen
7	A. I would say
8	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
9	the form of the question.
10	THE WITNESS: I was thinking
11	the year or two before I left.
12	BY MR. BARATTA:
13	Q. So in the year or two before you left,
14	you opened up a half dozen, but prior to that,
15	you had opened up many more?
16	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
17	the form of the question.
18	THE WITNESS: I had probably
19	opened up more than that, yes, but I
20	don't remember the time frame when we
21	started opening lead files.
22	I know in the past, years
23	ago, meaning from the time I started
24	with Mr. Murphy, even past 2000, even

1	past that, we didn't have lead files.
2	We didn't have to designate a lead
3	file and say here's the lead file for
4	every single case.
5	BY MR. BARATTA:
6	Q. So that process started when
7	Mr. Acornley communicated it to you?
8	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
9	the form of the question.
10	THE WITNESS: Well, it could
11	have started before that.
12	BY MR. BARATTA:
13	Q. Well, when you said earlier it was
14	Mr. Acornley who said we've been told we need to
15	start using lead files, what were you referring
16	to?
17	A. That
18	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
19	the form of the question.
20	THE WITNESS: from this
21	point forward, you don't have an
22	option. You must use a lead file for
23	every I mean, there might be times,
24	just for my own edification, that, you

1	know what, I'm just going to put
2	everything under one file, but there
3	came a point, I guess maybe somebody
4	at corporate saw, hey, some guys are
5	using lead files, some aren't, there
6	came a point in time where somebody
7	when I say "corporate," maybe it
8	wasn't corporate. Somebody higher up
9	than Bryan said we like this concept
10	of designating a lead file for a
11	project.
12	Maybe they saw it in another
13	region or zone. I have no knowledge.
14	But from this point forward,
15	investigators will not have an option.
16	Everybody will pick a lead file and
17	designate it, mark it, identify it.
18	And that's what happened.
19	BY MR. BARATTA:
20	Q. When opening a new project or any
21	multi-claim investigation?
22	A. Any
23	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
24	the form of the question.

1	THE WITNESS: multi-claim
2	investigation.
3	BY MR. BARATTA:
4	Q. And was that communication made before
5	or after Mr. Bowles retired?
6	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
7	the form of the question.
8	THE WITNESS: I can't answer
9	that. I don't even remember when
10	Mr. Bowles retired.
11	BY MR. BARATTA:
12	Q. If Mr. Acornley was the one who
13	communicated it to you, does that tell you it
14	was after Mr. Bowles retired?
15	A. No
16	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
17	the form.
18	THE WITNESS: because I
19	told you Mr. Bowles and Mr. Acornley
20	many times conducted joint meetings.
21	So there were many times, even though
22	I was working for Austin Bowles, I was
23	sitting in a meeting Bryan Acornley
24	was conducting.

1	BY MR. BARATTA:
2	Q. How would you pick the file to be
3	designated as the lead file?
4	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
5	the form of the question.
6	BY MR. BARATTA:
7	Q. Was it random? I mean, how would you
8	get
9	A. Yeah.
10	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
11	the form of the question.
12	THE WITNESS: My
13	understanding, my understanding, I
14	could be wrong, there were no
15	parameters. It had to be a file
16	that that was associated with the
17	investigation that was still open.
18	And you would say, okay,
19	this file's open, associated with the
20	investigation, so we will tag it as
21	the lead file and we will keep it open
22	throughout the entire investigation,
23	but it wouldn't matter which one I
24	picked.

- 1 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 2 Q. And when an investigation was over,
- 3 what would happen to the lead file?
- 4 A. We would close it.
- 5 MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
- 6 the form of the question.
- 7 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 8 Q. Why?
- 9 A. No need for it. Anytime you're done
- 10 with the file, there's no further need for the
- 11 file, the claim is concluded or the
- 12 investigation is concluded, you close the file.
- 13 Q. Is that because you don't want to have
- 14 open files hanging around for no reason?
- MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
- the form of the question.
- 17 THE WITNESS: It's policy at
- 18 State Farm.
- 19 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 20 Q. What's the policy?
- 21 A. When a file is concluded, whether it
- 22 be the investigation, whether it be the claim's
- 23 paid, but when you conclude a claim, part of the
- 24 investigation is you document everything that

- 1 needs to be documented, fill out all the forms
- 2 and appropriate clicks for whatever
- 3 documentation purposes or statistical purposes
- 4 need to be done, and then you close the file.
- 5 Q. Did you have any expense authority
- 6 with respect to multi-claim investigations?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
- 9 the form of the question.
- 10 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 11 Q. Was it a dollar figure?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What was it?
- 14 A. Again, unfortunately, that's a what
- 15 and when question. It changed over time because
- 16 things were -- I know that sounds horrible, and
- 17 I hate to do that to you, sir, but there was a
- 18 time frame a year or two before I left, probably
- 19 two years, maybe even three years before I left,
- 20 up until my understanding is even six months or
- 21 so after I left that things were in just
- 22 constant motion at State Farm, meaning they were
- 23 literally changing sometimes between the 1st of
- 24 the month and the 30th of the month, they

1	changed.
2	So for you to say what was your
3	expense authority, at one point the expense
4	authority they gave to SIU investigators was
5	minimal, like, extremely minimal, not even
6	enough for me to pay a surveillance report.
7	We were all up in arms. And I
8	specifically remember all of us going to Bryan
9	and saying that this is asinine. Do you realize
10	how many requests you're going to get on a
11	weekly basis, because I can't pay a single bill.
12	And if we couldn't pay a bill, that
13	means we had to send a request authority to our
14	manager, who then had to approve it, who then
15	had to send it back to us so that we could then
16	send it to the person that we were designating
17	to pay a bill.
18	And we were like, so if you multiply
19	all the guys you have, multiply all the bills
20	that hit my desk every day, you're not going to
21	have time to breathe.
22	And he was like, yeah, I haven't even
23	thought of that. So he had to get ahold of
24	somebody that said you got raise this authority.

- 1 So the authority, I think, ended up going to
- 2 15,000.
- 3 Q. And when was that? Do you know?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 O. Was it --
- 6 A. That, I believe, was the maximum
- 7 authority when I left. And we keep talking
- 8 about -- just for clarification, for the record,
- 9 we keep talking about time frame.
- 10 I think it's probably better if the
- 11 record reflect that I left in August of 2015,
- 12 however, I stopped working at State Farm
- 13 November of 2014.
- I did not do any work or perform any
- work after November 2nd, 2014 for State Farm
- 16 Insurance, and then I officially retired on, I
- 17 believe, October -- August 28th of 2015.
- 18 So let the record reflect, when I say
- 19 during my time frame, I'm really referring up
- 20 until November 2nd of 2014, because that's the
- 21 last day I was actually in a State Farm office
- 22 working.
- 23 Q. Okay. Thank you for telling me that.
- 24 MR. BARATTA: Let's mark

1	this as 2.
2	(Auto Claim File Print File
3	History Information marked for
4	identification as Exhibit Denner-2.)
5	(At this time, a discussion
6	was held off the record.)
7	BY MR. BARATTA:
8	Q. What's in front of you, Mr. Denner, is
9	Denner-2, and this is a portion of the Eastern
10	Approach, Aquatic Therapy lead file, okay
11	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
12	the form of the question.
13	BY MR. BARATTA:
14	Q to just orient you to it. And I
15	want you'll see at the bottom of the pages a
16	Bates stamp number. See where it says,
17	"Confidential produced"?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. At the end, there's a six-digit
20	number?
21	A. Yes, that's Easter number, and then it
22	has a number after that.
23	Q. Right. I'm going to refer to Bates
24	that's a Bates number.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So I would like you to go to 255972.
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And I want to direct you to the top of
- 5 the page, January 26, 2012, entry by David
- 6 Dormer?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Who's David Dormer?
- 9 A. A claim representative at State Farm
- 10 Insurance.
- 11 Q. Was he in the SIU?
- 12 A. Dave Dormer? No, he was medical, MPC
- 13 rep.
- 14 Q. Not in the SIU?
- 15 A. I'm trying to remember if Dave had
- 16 ended up coming to SIU or not. I don't remember
- 17 if Dave ended up coming to SIU or not. I think
- 18 back in 2012, Dave was just a medical claim rep,
- 19 MPC.
- 20 Q. Well, the notes he makes is: Reopened
- 21 and reassigned to myself for lead file handling.
- Did he have, as an MPC rep, the
- 23 ability to open lead files?
- 24 A. I don't know.

1	Q. If you look at the entry, if you go
2	to
3	A. You know, yeah, Dave did come to SIU,
4	I thought, but I don't remember when.
5	Q. Okay. If you can't remember
6	A. I can't remember. I'm sorry.
7	Q. If you go to the previous page,
8	255971, at the very bottom, entry is
9	January 31st, 2012, by David Dormer, and that
10	entry continues onto the next page.
11	A. Uh-huh.
12	Q. And it says: Paid GM&R invoice in the
13	amount of \$432?
14	A. Yeah, that's
15	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
16	the form of the question.
17	THE WITNESS: Paid Goldberg,
18	Miller & Rubin invoice, that's what
19	that means to me, a \$432 bill, and
20	then dropped same to file.
21	We're electronic, so after
22	he paid the invoice, he's going to
23	have the image put into the file.
24	BY MR. BARATTA:

1	Q. And then if you go to the previous
2	page, 255971, he makes another entry on
3	February 27th, 2012: Paid GM&R invoice in the
4	amount of \$1,174.50. Dropped same to file?
5	A. Same thing, paid Goldberg, Miller &
6	Rubin invoice.
7	MR. CASTAGNA: Same
8	objection.
9	THE WITNESS: Designates the
10	amount that he's paying, and then he's
11	going to place the invoice into the
12	file.
13	BY MR. BARATTA:
14	Q. Do those entries by David Dormer,
15	opening a lead file and then paying GM&R
16	invoices, tell you anything about this file?
17	A. It tells me that for Dave Dormer, it's
18	a lead file for him, and that he's paying
19	invoices associated with it.
20	Q. And would those invoices be for work
21	associated with the lead file?
22	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
23	the form of the question.
24	THE WITNESS: Could have
I	

- been. Appear to indicate.
- 2 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 3 Q. Now, on -- if you go on 255971, a
- 4 little bit higher up, on March 26, 2012,
- 5 Mr. Dormer makes a note: Reviewed on cal,
- 6 C-A-L, period, lead file.
- 7 A. Whoa, where are we?
- 8 Q. March 26, 2012. It's --
- 9 A. Oh, okay. I see it. All right.
- 10 Q. Reviewed on calendar, lead file?
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. What --
- 13 A. That means -- it doesn't say -- yeah,
- 14 it says reviewed. Okay. I thought it said
- 15 received. It says reviewed on calendar, meaning
- 16 an electronic calendar popped up, because we
- 17 would set calendars for ourselves.
- 18 So an electronic calendar popped up,
- 19 and he's stating that my lead file popped up on
- 20 calendar, and he reviewed it, meaning he looked
- 21 at the file. And when you look at a file, you
- 22 look -- do just that, look at it. Is there
- 23 anything I have to do today?
- 24 O. Does the fact that he didn't close the

1	file indicate that it was still a lead file and
2	was to remain open?
3	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
4	the form of the question.
5	THE WITNESS: The fact that
6	he didn't close it indicates that it's
7	still open.
8	BY MR. BARATTA:
9	Q. If there was no investigation going on
10	in connection with a lead file, would there be
11	any reason to keep the lead file open?
12	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
13	the
14	THE WITNESS: Maybe he
15	had
16	MR. CASTAGNA: form.
17	Calls for speculation.
18	THE WITNESS: a bill.
19	Maybe he had a statement he was
20	waiting for. Maybe there was a
21	document that was still at bay.
22	There's a lot of reasons a
23	file can remain open you're waiting
24	for something to come in still,

1	somebody owes you something still,
2	somebody says they're going to be
3	submitting something to the file that
4	you still have yet to receive.
5	How do I say this politely?
6	It was I'll be frank about it. It
7	was a pain in the ass at State Farm to
8	reopen an electronic file if you knew
9	you were getting documents.
10	Now, if the file opened
11	years later, that's a different story,
12	but you certainly didn't want to close
13	a file simply to reopen the file 30
14	days later.
15	So, you know, Goldberg,
16	Miller & Rubin had another bill that
17	they were going to submit or, you
18	know, they he was paying bills for
19	something, maybe Goldberg, Miller &
20	Rubin was mailing him something, and
21	he said, Hey, you know, I need to mail
22	the bill or to the file, I paid
23	your bill.
24	He's not going to simply

1	close the file, and then 30 days later
2	get their documents and have to
3	reattach it, so, sure, it might be
4	open.
5	BY MR. BARATTA:
6	Q. Go to the next note, almost two months
7	later, May 17th, 2012. John Costanzo makes an
8	entry: Extended expense authority to \$15,000.
9	Do you see that?
10	A. Yes, because people such as Dave
11	Dormer, when we had a limited expense authority,
12	that's what I told you before about limited
13	expense authority, and unless somebody like an
14	SIU rep or a manager increased the authority,
15	then bills couldn't be paid.
16	So let's say the authority was set at
17	2,500, and 2,000 had already been paid or 2,100
18	had already been paid. Then the next bill
19	would comes in. Better not exceed that three
20	or \$400, or it just physically can't be paid.
21	So John would have to raise the
22	authority to make sure that incoming bills could
23	be paid. That's what John's doing here.
24	Q. So would you would he have done

1	that?
2	A. That's what he just did.
3	Q. Would he have done that, though, if
4	there was no more bills expected?
5	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
6	the form of the question. Calls for
7	speculation.
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, you
9	would have to ask John, but I'm
10	assuming the answer would be yes, he's
11	expecting something; otherwise, we
12	have closed the file.
13	BY MR. BARATTA:
14	Q. Did you ever make a note like that:
15	Extended expense authority to \$1,500?
16	A. Many times.
17	Q. And did you ever do it in a lead file?
18	A. Many times.
19	Q. Did you ever do it in a lead file that
20	there was no more investigation occurring in?
21	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
22	the form of the question.
23	THE WITNESS: Not that I can
24	think of.

1	BY MR. BARATTA:
2	Q. Would there be any reason to extend
3	expense authority in a lead file that there was
4	nothing happening in and there was nothing
5	expected to happen in?
6	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
7	the form of the question.
8	THE WITNESS: Are you
9	telling me I didn't expect to have a
10	miscellaneous bill come in or
11	anything?
12	BY MR. BARATTA:
13	Q. I'm telling you that if there was a
14	lead file that got accidentally by mistake left
15	open because everyone else just forgot to close
16	it, would there be any reason to make a note
17	extending expense authority to \$15,000 in that
18	file?
19	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
20	the form of the question.
21	THE WITNESS: Not that I can
22	think of.
23	BY MR. BARATTA:
24	Q. Can you think of an instance where a

1	lead file just got accidentally left open?
2	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
3	the form of the question.
4	THE WITNESS: I'm sure
5	things accidentally happen all the
6	time at big insurance companies, but
7	when you notice something's
8	accidentally open, you close it when
9	you figure it out.
10	But I'm sure somebody's
11	going to find files after I left that
12	I accidentally left open and shouldn't
13	have.
14	BY MR. BARATTA:
15	Q. Well, in those files
16	A. But I can't think of any that I did.
17	I hopefully closed the ones I was supposed to
18	close.
19	Q. Let's say you accidentally left a file
20	opened before you left. Would you expect
21	someone like David Dormer to have reviewed it
22	twice and John Costanzo to have extended expense
23	authority in it, not realizing that it should
24	have been closed?

1	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
2	the form of the question. Calls for
3	speculation.
4	I can't tell you not to
5	answer.
6	THE WITNESS: I don't know
7	what I don't know what was in their
8	thought process when they were going
9	through this file. I don't have the
10	objectability to know what they were
11	thinking.
12	They obviously were going
13	through this whole file, they saw this
14	whole file, they saw other things
15	going on. They knew this file
16	obviously, they've got several pages
17	worth of documentation in it. It's
18	not just one note. They clearly have
19	seen this file multiple times.
20	So I don't want to say why
21	they did or didn't close it. They
22	must have had a reason they did or
23	didn't close it.
24	I can't think of why they

1	did or why they didn't, but I'm not
2	going to speculate as to it was a
3	mistake, it wasn't a mistake, they
4	were waiting for a bill that never
5	showed or, you know, he should have
6	made authority 2,500, not 15,000. I
7	don't know.
8	BY MR. BARATTA:
9	Q. But looking at those entries, does it
10	appear to you that this was an active open lead
11	file during that time frame?
12	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection.
13	Calls for speculation.
14	THE WITNESS: It is open.
15	It says this.
16	BY MR. BARATTA:
17	Q. What do you mean, it says this? It
18	says it's active and open?
19	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
20	the form. Calls for speculation.
21	THE WITNESS: Well, it's
22	active because it's still popping up
23	on a diary system, so you know it's an
24	active file. It's still in the

1	inventory system, so, therefore, it's
2	not closed or moved from the claim
3	inventory.
4	Therefore, every time they
5	rediary it, they have to physically
6	put a new date. The system doesn't
7	put dates in automatically. They have
8	to put a date in. They have to click
9	on a date and rediary it.
10	BY MR. BARATTA:
11	Q. If you go
12	A. So they're clicking on a date to
13	rediary the file and telling it to pop up again
14	for them.
15	Q. And would anybody make a note to
16	rediary a file that should be closed?
17	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection.
18	Calls for speculation.
19	THE WITNESS: Again, I can't
20	answer for somebody else. I wouldn't
21	rediary a file that I never wanted to
22	see again. I don't know why John or
23	Dave would or why both of them would.
24	That's something you need to ask Dave,

- 1 I guess.
- 2 BY MR. BARATTA:
- 3 Q. Go to the next -- previous page,
- 4 255970. And at the bottom of that page should
- 5 be an entry on July 25th, 2012, by Mr. Acornley,
- 6 at the very bottom?
- 7 A. July 25th, 2012, at 8:45 a.m. in the
- 8 morning, correct, management.
- 9 Q. And it says: Category: Management,
- 10 investigation?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If you turn the page, at the very top
- 13 of the next page is the actual entry that he
- 14 made, which is capital OAR-SIU?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. Reviewed, rediary, January 24th, 2013,
- 17 do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes. See, that's exactly what I was
- 19 talking about. Mr. Acornley is saying that he
- 20 opened the file, reviewed it, and he is setting
- 21 himself a diary to rereview this again, on
- 22 January 24th, 2013, that he physically opens up
- 23 the calendar, goes to the calendar, clicks to
- 24 set his name and to tell the computer to find

1	this file again and bring it back into his queue
2	on January 24, 2013.
3	The computer doesn't know how to do
4	anything without him; otherwise, it would just
5	sit in the queue forever. So he's telling it to
6	get out of my queue, I've reviewed it, and I
7	would like to see it again on January 24th.
8	Q. Did Mr. Acornley ever do open
9	assignment reviews on lead files that you were
10	handling?
11	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
12	the form of the question.
13	THE WITNESS: Sure. That's
14	what OAR stands for.
15	BY MR. BARATTA:
16	Q. And was it your experience that
17	Mr. Acornley was diligent in those reviews and
18	would actually open the files and look at them?
19	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
20	the form of the question. Also calls
21	for speculation.
22	THE WITNESS: I can't assume
23	what Mr. Acornley did or didn't do. I
24	didn't sit behind him and look over

1	his shoulder. He this is something
2	he would mark in my files. He would
3	use the same acronym, open assignment
4	review, SIU. Sometimes he would say
5	reviewed, sometimes he would say
6	reviewed, then put a comment after it,
7	sometimes he wouldn't.
8	Sometimes he'd just say open
9	the assignment, reviewed it, and then
10	he'd say, I'm going to look at it
11	again, Jeff, in three months, six
12	months.
13	A lot of times for Bryan,
14	especially in initial assignments,
15	which is what you said this was, he
16	would say I'll see it again in six
17	months, because he would want to give
18	you six months to work on it.
19	Sometimes he'd give you a
20	note and say do the following or come
21	talk to me or whatever. I don't know.
22	But I can't tell you that's what he
23	did. I never sat over his shoulder.
24	BY MR. BARATTA:

1	Q. Would you expect that Mr. Acornley
2	would open a file, do an open assignment review,
3	make that entry, diary it for six months hence,
4	and not realize that the file should be closed
5	because there was nothing going on in the file?
6	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
7	the form of the question. Also calls
8	for speculation.
9	THE WITNESS: I'm going to
10	ask you to rephrase it and slow it
11	down a little bit, because I really
12	got confused.
13	BY MR. BARATTA:
14	Q. If Mr. Acornley has said that he
15	this file was accidentally left open, that there
16	was nothing going on in it, and the OAR he did
17	was just a matter of course and was not
18	something he paid any attention to, does that
19	appear to you based on these entries that you
20	just looked at to be accurate testimony?
21	MR. CASTAGNA: Object to the
22	form of the question. Calls for
23	speculation.
24	THE WITNESS: I I

1	certainly can't tell you whether
2	Mr. Acornley's testimony is accurate,
3	inaccurate, confusing, not confusing.
4	Only he can tell you that.
5	All I can tell you is
6	OAR-SIU reviewed is something that I
7	would routinely see by Bryan in my
8	files.
9	Again, sometimes it would
10	just be OAR-SIU reviewed, meaning he
11	opened the initial assignment that I
12	sent him and he reviewed it. Other
13	times he would say I opened the
14	initial assignment and reviewed it,
15	and then he would give me a little
16	blurb, do this, don't do this, talk to
17	so-and-so, come and see me. Sometimes
18	there was, sometimes this was all it
19	said.
20	And then, yes, there was
21	always a calendar date, because
22	there's a by by design of our
23	computers, you must physically put in
24	a calendar date. If you click out of

1	it, it simply goes right back into
2	your queue and comes right back to you
3	again.
4	Your only options are close
5	the file or assign it to a future date
6	or move it to a different claim rep
7	altogether, reassign it to another
8	person, which is an ordeal. That's
9	actually hard to do.
10	BY MR. BARATTA:
11	Q. In the time frame of 2010, '11, '12,
12	up until the time that you left in November of
13	2014, was there anything going on in the unit or
14	going on at State Farm that would have let a
15	particular investigation fall by the wayside or
16	be forgotten or be put on the back burner?
17	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
18	the form of the question.
19	THE WITNESS: We were always
20	busy, but I don't think anybody would
21	forget about an investigation.
22	I mean, I was always busy.
23	I had one of the largest inventories
24	in our unit for almost ten years

1	straight, but I never forgot about an
2	investigation. You know, you work and
3	you do what you can, you get to what
4	you can, you ask for assistance when
5	you can.
6	I don't know John
7	personally. I only know him as a
8	co-worker and as a co-worker friend.
9	John is not the forgetful type. I
10	don't think John just totally forgets
11	about his job. He's very
12	conscientious, he's hard working, he's
13	smart.
14	John doesn't you know, he
15	might forget about a claim file. I
16	mean, like I said, I'm sure I've done
17	that. But I'm not going to ignore an
18	investigation that I'm supposed to be
19	doing.
20	My manager wouldn't let me
21	ignore an investigation, either. I
22	mean, management has checks and
23	balances to keep. They don't have
24	checks and balances on hundreds and

1	hundreds of claims files we have.
2	Those could slip through the cracks,
3	but
4	BY MR. BARATTA:
5	Q. So based on your experience, if
6	there's a lead file with repeated reviews,
7	expense authority, OAR going on, to you, that
8	appears to be an active investigation?
9	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
10	the form of the question.
11	THE WITNESS: It appears
12	somebody documented the file that
13	there's an investigation.
14	That's what I said. I don't
15	know when John started his I don't
16	know anything about this case. I
17	don't know when he started his
18	investigation in this case.
19	I don't know if this is the
20	lead file for this case. I have no
21	idea. I don't even know if this case
22	has anything to do with this file,
23	with this investigation. I don't know
24	anything about it.

1	BY MR. BARATTA:
2	Q. You said that you
3	A. I don't see any of the defendants
4	listed here anywhere.
5	Q. You said that you had one of the
6	largest inventories in the unit
7	A. Yes.
8	Q correct?
9	A. Because we had claim files as well as
10	projects or MCIU investigation, whatever it is
11	you all want to call them.
12	Q. How would you know what was in anyone
13	else's inventory?
14	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
15	the form of the question.
16	THE WITNESS: Because we
17	would get that printout at meetings
18	that would show the names of projects
19	and who was assigned to projects, so
20	you could very easily see how many
21	projects you had, how many projects
22	other people had.
23	We also had something called
24	an open claim inventory that would
Ī	

1	show how many open claims. It was
2	called an open claim count. It would
3	show in the old days, it would show
4	how many open claims were assigned to
5	you, meaning this would count as one
6	claim opened and assigned to John, if
7	John was the claim handler.
8	Then it became at some
9	point they decided that just because
10	it was a claim file, it wasn't fair,
11	because some claim files were more
12	interesting than others, so it became
13	a what's known as COL, cause of loss
14	count.
15	So one file may be one file,
16	but there might be six causes of loss
17	that you're handling in that claim
18	file. So then it became a cause of
19	loss count, so that it would State
20	Farm started totaling up the total of
21	the causes of loss that you were
22	handling.
23	So that routinely came
24	out not routinely. That came out

1	every so often. So you could look at
2	it and say, wow, I'm handling "X"
3	number of investigations, as well as
4	all these COLs, and this guy's
5	handling this, this guy's handling
6	this, so you could see.
7	Now, that doesn't
8	necessarily mean the argument there
9	is, yes, but some investigations are
10	harder than others. Some litigation
11	is harder than others. So that's what
12	management had to do there, weighing
13	and balancing act.
14	BY MR. BARATTA:
15	Q. Would Robin Seeler participate in
16	those meetings with managers?
17	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
18	the form of the question.
19	THE WITNESS: What do you
20	mean, managers?
21	BY MR. BARATTA:
22	Q. Well, the ones you would go over the
23	inventory sheets?
24	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to

1	the form of the question.
2	THE WITNESS: Almost always.
3	I don't want to say all the time, but
4	most of the time she would, yeah.
5	BY MR. BARATTA:
6	Q. Was Robin Seeler the person who was
7	actually creating the inventory sheets?
8	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
9	the form of the question.
10	THE WITNESS: When Austin
11	was around, I think she did it for
12	Austin, because I know Austin would
13	say, if you have update on the sheet,
14	give it to Robin. You want to take
15	something off the sheet, give it to
16	Robin. If something needs to be
17	altered on the sheet, give it to
18	Robin. Which would all indicate to me
19	Robin's doing it, not me.
20	Bryan took care of his own
21	stuff. Bryan was very computer
22	literate. Bryan was also an I
23	Bryan hated to delegate. Bryan was
24	just he was a workaholic. He did

1		everything. So I don't know if Robin
2		did it for Bryan or not, but if I had
3		to take an educated guess, I'd say
4		that Bryan took care of all his own
5		stuff.
6	BY MR. BAI	RATTA:
7	Q.	So Bryan took care of his own
8	inventory	sheet?
9	A.	I
10		MR. CASTAGNA: Objection.
11		THE WITNESS: don't know
12		that. I have no idea if Robin did it
13		or Bryan did it. One of the two did.
14		I'm only assuming Bryan did it because
15		Bryan was a hands-on manager. He
16		always did everything and always
17		volunteered to help everybody with
18		everything. He just was always on top
19		of things.
20	BY MR. BAI	RATTA:
21	Q.	Have you talked to any of your former
22	colleagues	s in the MCIU or SIU about the fact
23	that you v	were being deposed in this case?
24	Α.	No

1	MR. CASTAGNA: Objection to
2	the form of the question.
3	THE WITNESS: not one.
4	Didn't call them and nobody called me.
5	MR. BARATTA: I think that's
6	all I have. Thank you, Mr. Denner.
7	MR. CASTAGNA: I have a
8	couple follow-ups.
9	BY MR. CASTAGNA:
10	Q. Jeff, Mr. Baratta was asking you some
11	questions about surveillance. Do you know if
12	surveillance was conducted with respect to
13	this the investigation into the defendants in
14	this case?
15	A. I have no way of knowing that.
16	Q. Do you have any idea why, if
17	surveillance was conducted, why it was
18	conducted?
19	A. I don't even know what it was, so I
20	can't I don't know if it was, and, if it was,
21	I don't know why.
22	Q. Okay. Do you know the basis for any
23	decisions made regarding claims handling with
24	respect to any claims that involved either

- 1 Eastern Approach or Aquatic Therapy, Dr. Wang or
- 2 Dr. Stavropolskiy?
- 3 A. None whatsoever.
- 4 Q. Do you handle -- do you recall
- 5 handling any claims that involved treatment at
- 6 either defendant facilities?
- 7 A. To the best of my knowledge, I have
- 8 never even looked at a file, let alone handled a
- 9 file involving these doctors.
- 10 Q. Do you know who represented the
- 11 insureds in those -- in any claims that involved
- 12 treatment by those providers?
- 13 A. I have no idea.
- 14 Q. Do you know why those law firms or
- 15 lawyers were selected?
- 16 A. I have no idea.
- 17 Q. Any involvement in the decision to
- 18 hire those attorneys?
- 19 A. None whatsoever.
- 20 Q. Do you recall a lawsuit that involved
- 21 a facility called Midtown Medical Center?
- 22 A. Yes, that was the one I was referring
- 23 to previously when I said I remembered the name
- 24 Sam Fishman.

1	I just said he was one person involved
2	in many, many other entities, individuals,
3	et cetera. The case, we had it captioned as
4	Midtown. That's what State Farm called it.
5	Q. Do you know who Rob Tierney is?
6	A. Rob Tierney?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Who's Rob Tierney?
10	A. Works at State Farm.
11	Q. Is he the individual who replaced Pat
12	Parr as the section manager?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Do you know when Rob started at
15	State Farm, or, I should say, do you remember
16	when Rob replaced Pat?
17	A. I don't.
18	Q. Okay. But was that before you left
19	and, again, using that November 2nd, 2014 date,
20	did Rob start before November 2nd, 2014 in
21	replacement of Ms. Parr?
22	A. He either replaced Pat relatively
23	quickly before that or right after that. I

24 never actually got a chance to meet Rob and sit

- 1 down and talk with him one-on-one because he was
- 2 my new boss, but I knew who he was.
- 3 It was kind of like they said, Hey,
- 4 everybody's being replaced, but everybody was
- 5 replaced on paper before they were replaced
- 6 physically. So it was kind of around that time
- 7 frame.
- 8 So I knew Rob either was going to be
- 9 my boss and they were, like, just hold on,
- 10 you'll get a chance to meet him and sit down and
- 11 talk to him, or I left, and then they were like,
- 12 Oh, yeah, by the way, Rob's your boss, and I'm
- 13 like, oh, shoot.
- So, no, I never actually got a chance
- 15 to sit one-on-one with Rob.
- 16 Q. Okay. Mr. Baratta was asking you
- 17 questions about lead files, and he was
- 18 specifically talking about a document that he's
- 19 marked as --
- 20 MR. CASTAGNA: Denner-2.
- 21 BY MR. CASTAGNA:
- 22 Q. -- Denner-2. Did you have any
- 23 involvement with respect to that lead file of
- 24 that claim?

- 1 A. Other than --
- 2 Q. This is the one --
- 3 A. Other than seeing this printout, I've
- 4 never even seen this claim.
- 5 Q. Do you remember -- this might be
- 6 taxing your brain. It probably is.
- 7 A. All this is taxing me.
- 8 Q. But at some point in time, State Farm
- 9 changed their -- I'm going to use that layman's
- 10 term, "computer system," from --
- 11 A. CSR.
- 12 Q. -- from CSR to ECS?
- 13 A. Yep.
- 14 Q. Do you remember approximately when
- 15 that happened?
- 16 A. I couldn't tell you. It was a
- 17 drawn-out process. It was supposed to be quick,
- 18 and it never worked. The first time we tried
- 19 it, it didn't work.
- 20 Q. Did you keep track of your lead files
- 21 in any way other than if they came up on your
- 22 diary?
- 23 A. Did I personally?
- 24 Q. Yes, you personally.

- 1 A. Yes, I kept a list of my lead files.
- 2 Q. So you kept a list?
- 3 A. Yes, a list.
- 4 Q. Do you know if anyone else kept a list
- 5 of their lead files?
- 6 A. You would have to ask each person
- 7 individually. I have no idea what they did or
- 8 didn't keep at their desk or their briefcases.
- 9 Q. Do you remember a change as a result
- 10 of -- as a result of that computer changeover
- 11 from CSR --
- 12 A. CSR to ECS.
- 13 Q. -- to ECS, do you remember a change
- 14 where, after that changeover from CSR to ECS,
- 15 that you had to go into the files and actually
- 16 give authority to the claims processors?
- 17 A. You had to open up every file
- 18 electronically and extend claim authority on
- 19 every file so that it could now be processed in
- 20 ECS, because until authority was actually typed
- 21 in and until a box was clicked saying who
- 22 authority was going to be given to, nobody had
- 23 authority.
- 24 Q. So --

1	A. Because when the computer transitioned
2	overnight, you walked in the next day and
3	everything was ECS, all the authorities were now
4	blank.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. Which meant nothing could be paid.
7	MR. CASTAGNA: I think
8	that's all the questions I have for
9	you, Mr. Denner, Jeff. Now I'm
10	calling you Mr. Denner at the end.
11	THE WITNESS: That's fine.
12	MR. BARATTA: Just a couple.
13	THE WITNESS: Sure.
14	BY MR. BARATTA:
15	Q. Why did you keep a list of your lead
16	files?
17	A. I didn't want to lose them because of
18	all these changeovers and all these computer
19	things happening.
20	And State Farm had done this many
21	times in my career, and I realized that many
22	times in my career, things people are like,
23	we can't get into the system and the system
24	didn't transfer things right or things were

- 1 lost.
- 2 And as a -- what they used to call me,
- 3 an old-timer, as an old-timer, I realized that I
- 4 liked to keep things handy.
- 5 And I understand they wanted to be
- 6 paperless, but when you get computer glitches
- 7 and you can't get into a computer and nothing
- 8 seems to work, and people say, Well, now what do
- 9 we do, I'm like, Well, I got backup.
- 10 Q. Whenever that changeover occurred,
- 11 which required some new expense authority to be
- 12 entered into a claim file --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- when that happened, did you go into
- 15 all of your lead files and write a new authority
- 16 expense extender?
- 17 A. I went into any file that was open and
- 18 granted authority to whatever I felt was
- 19 appropriate.
- 20 Q. And did you go into any claim files or
- 21 lead files that were no longer active to do
- 22 that?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 MR. BARATTA: That's all I

1	have.
2	MR. CASTAGNA: No further
3	questions. Thanks, Jeff.
4	(Witness excused.)
5	(Deposition concluded at
6	1:22 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, JENNIFER WEARNE, a Registered
4	Professional Reporter and Notary Public, do
5	hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	accurate transcript of the stenographic notes
7	taken by me in the aforementioned matter.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	DATE:
22	
23	JENNIFER WEARNE, RPR
24	

1	DENNER-1
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	DENNER-2
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	